



# राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश

हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्यशासन द्वारा प्रकाशित

खण्ड 38 ]	शिमला, शनिवार, 4 अगस्त, 1990/13 भावण, 1912	[ संख्या 31
	<b>विषय सूची</b>	
भाग 1	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि .. .. .	8 42—856
भाग 2	वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर विभिन्न विभागों के अध्यक्षों और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेटों द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि ..	857—889
भाग 3	अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाईनेंशियल कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर प्राक इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि ..	889—891 तथा 896
भाग 4	स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन: म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग ..	—
भाग 5	वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन .. .. .	891—896
भाग 6	भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन .. .. .	—
भाग 7	भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं .. .. .	—
—	अनुपूरक .. .. .	—

4 अगस्त, 1990/13 भावण, 1912 को समाप्त होने वाले सप्ताह में सम्मिलित विज्ञप्तियां 'सप्ताहवार राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश' में प्रकाशित हुईं:—

विज्ञप्ति की संख्या	विभाग का नाम	विषय
संख्या 1-66/90 वि० स०, दिनांक 28 जुलाई, 1990।	हिमाचल प्रदेश सप्तम विधान सभा	हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल द्वारा हिमाचल प्रदेश सप्तम विधान सभा के तृतीय अधिवेशन का आह्वान, इसके अंग्रेजी रूपान्तर सहित प्रकाशन।
संख्या गृह (ए०) ए० (9)-41/90, दिनांक 30 जुलाई, 1990।	गृह विभाग	हिमाचल प्रदेश के फल-सब्जी उत्पादकों द्वारा समर्थन मूल्य के सम्बन्ध में जन आन्दोलन के दौरान सरकार द्वारा तथाकथित ज्यादतियों का अन्वेषण करने हेतु राज्यपाल महोदय द्वारा श्री के० सी० शर्मा, आयुक्त एवं सचिव को जांच अधिकारी नियुक्त करना, इसके अंग्रेजी रूपान्तर सहित प्रकाशन।
संख्या पी० सी० एच०-एच० ए० (5) 16/80, दिनांक 16 जून, 1990।	पंचायती राज विभाग	श्री राम चन्द्र पंच, ग्राम पंचायत मलधेहड़, विकास खण्ड मण्डी (सदर), जिला मण्डी को हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल द्वारा उनको अपने पद पर अनियमितता बरतने के आरोप में निष्कासनार्थ कारण बताओ नोटिस जारी करना।

भाग 1--वैधानिक नियमों को छोड़ कर हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल और हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट द्वारा अधिसूचनाएं इत्यादि

## हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट

### NOTIFICATIONS

Shimla-1, the 17th July, 1990

No. HHC/GAZ/14-52/74-II.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to order the following transfers and postings in the public interest:—

1. Shri M. R. Chaudhary, District and Sessions Judge, Solan is transferred and posted as District and Sessions Judge, Shimla vice Shri A. L. Vaidya, whose services have been placed at the disposal of the Government of Himachal Pradesh for being appointed as Legal Remembrancer-cum-Secretary (Law).
2. Shri R. L. Khurana, on his reversion from the Law Commission of India with effect from 31-7-1990 (A. N.) is posted as District and Sessions Judge, Solan vice serial No. 1 above.

By order,  
M. R. VERMA,  
Registrar.

Shimla-1, the 19th July, 1990

No. HHC/Admn. 3(99)/75.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice is pleased to sanction 9 days earned leave w. e. f. 20-7-1990 to 28-7-1990 with permission to suffix Sunday on 29-7-1990 in favour of Shri S. R. Attri, Deputy Registrar (Judicial) of this Registry.

Certified that Shri S. R. Attri is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave after the expiry of period of leave.

Certified that Shri S. R. Attri would have continued to officiate on the same post of Deputy Registrar (Judicial) but for his proceeding on leave.

Shimla-1, the 20th July, 1990

No. HHC/Admn. 16(9)/74-II.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under Section 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure and under Section 297(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint Shri Brij Raj Singh Rathaur, Advocate, Jogindernagar, as Oath Commissioner at Jogindernagar, District Mandi, Himachal Pradesh, with immediate effect for a period of 2 years for administering oaths/affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the said Codes in accordance with terms specified in paragraph 5 of Chapter 12-B of the Punjab High Court Rules and Orders, Volume-IV as applied to the Himachal Pradesh.

Shimla-1, the 20th July, 1990

No. HHC/Admn. 16(9)/74-II.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under Section 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure and under Section 297(b) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint Shri Jagdish Chand Kaushal, Advocate, Jogindernagar, as Oath Commissioner at Jogindernagar, District Mandi Himachal Pradesh with effect from August 5, 1990 for a period of 2 years for administering oaths/affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the said Codes in accordance with terms specified in paragraph 5 of Chapter 12-B of the Punjab High Court Rules and Orders, Volume-IV as applied to Himachal Pradesh.

Shimla-1, the 20th July, 1990

No. HHC/Admn. 16(9)/74-III.—In exercise of the powers vested in them under Section 139(b) of the Code of Civil Procedure and under Section 297(b) of the Code

of Criminal Procedure, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to appoint the following Advocates as Oath Commissioners for the places mentioned against their names with effect from 2nd August, 1990, for a period of 2 years for administering oaths/affirmations on affidavits to the deponents under the said Codes in accordance with the terms specified in paragraph 5 of Chapter 12-B of the Punjab High Court Rules and Orders, Volume-IV as applied to Himachal Pradesh:—

Sl. No.	Name of Advocate	Place
1.	Shri Arvind Advocate, Mandi.	Mandi proper (H. P.).
2.	Shri Satish Kaushal, Advocate, Mandi.	Mandi proper (H. P.).
3.	Shri Rakesh Kumar, Advocate, Mandi.	Mandi proper (H. P.).
4.	Shri Jagdish Chand Thakur, Advocate, Mandi.	Mandi proper (H. P.).
5.	Miss. Savita Verma, Advocate, Mandi.	Mandi proper (H. P.).

By order,  
Sd/-  
Additional Registrar (Admn.).

Shimla-1, the 21st July, 1990

No. HHC/Admn. 6-20/77-IX.—In continuation of this Registry notification of even number, dated 1st May, 1990, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to order that October 27, 1990 shall be a working day for the High Court.

Shimla-1, the 23rd July, 1990

No. HHC/GAZ/14-188/88-11254.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant *ex-post-facto* sanction of three days commuted leave with effect from 31-5-1990 to 2-6-1990 with permission to suffix Sunday on 3-6-1990 in favour of Shri S. K. Gupta, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate (III), Mandi, Himachal Pradesh.

Certified that Shri S. K. Gupta, has joined the same post and at the same station from where he proceeded on leave, after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri S. K. Gupta, would have continued to hold the post of Sub Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

Shimla-1, the 23rd July, 1990

No. HHC/GAZ/14-129/82.—The Hon'ble the Chief Justice and Judges are pleased to grant 19 days earned leave w. e. f. 23-7-1990 to 10-8-1990 with permission to affix 22-7-1990 and 11-8-1990 to 15-8-1990 (Sundays and gazetted/local holidays) in favour of Shri R. K. Kaushal, Sub-Judge-cum-JMIC, Dehra.

Certified that Shri R. K. Kaushal is likely to join the same post and at the same station from where he proceeds on leave after expiry of the above period of leave.

Also certified that Shri R. K. Kaushal, would have continued to hold the post of Sub-Judge-cum-JMIC, but for his proceeding on leave for the above period.

Shimla-1, the 23rd July, 1990

(सचिवालय प्रशासन सेवायें-I)

No. HHC/Admn. 6(23)/74-VI.—Consequent upon the grant of 19 days earned leave w.e.f. 23-7-1990 to 10-8-1990 with permission to affix 22-7-1990 and 11-8-1990 to 15-8-1990 (Sundays and gazetted/local holiday) in favour of Shri R. K. Kaushal, Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Dehra, the Hon'ble the Chief Justice in exercise of the powers vested in him under rule 1.26 of the H. P. Financial Rules, 1971, Volume-I, is pleased to declare the Sub-Judge-cum-Judicial Magistrate, Kangra as Drawing and Disbursing Officer in respect of the establishment of the Court of Sub-Judge cum-JMIC, Dehra and also the Controlling Officer for the purpose of T. A. etc. in the respect of class-III and IV establishment attached to the aforesaid court under head "2014—Administration of Justice" during the leave period of Shri R. K. Kaushal or until he returns from leave.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Registrar.

### हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार

कार्मिक विभाग  
(सचिवालय प्रशासन सेवायें-I)

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 19 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या कार्मिक (सचि. प्रशा. 1)-बी(2)-1/84.—इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या दिनांक 8-11-89 को जारी रखते हुए, राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश निम्नलिखित अधीक्षक ग्रेड-II को उनकी अनुभाग अधिकारी (राजपत्रित श्रेणी-II) के पद पर पदोन्नति वेतनमान 2000-50-2400-60-2700-75-3000-100-3500 जमा रुपये 200 विशेष वतन प्रति मास तदर्थ आधार पर नियुक्ति 8-5-1990 से आग ७: मास तक उन्हीं शर्तों पर जैसा कि इस कार्यालय के उक्त अधिसूचना में दर्शाया गया है को बढ़ाने का सहर्ष आदेश देते हैं :—

1. श्री रघुनाथ दास
2. श्री राम स्वरूप वर्मा (प्रपन्न)
3. श्री मोहन लाल शर्मा
4. श्री देविन्द्र कुमार
5. श्री वलीप कुमार चौफल

### CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 23rd July, 1990

No. Per. (A-I)-B (2)-7/85—In this department's notification of even number, dated the 20th November, 1989 vide which Shri L. C. Kapoor, substantive D. C. & S. O. was appointed to the H.P. Administrative Service, under Rule 15 of the H. P. Administrative Rules, 1973 with effect from 1-10-1980, Para 2 may be substituted as under :—

"The period from 1-10-1980 to 27-6-1986 (F.N.) shall be treated as factual promotion and Shri L. C. Kapoor will be entitled to pay and allowances for this period on the pay fixed under operation of normal rules. His pay during this period shall be drawn as Assistant Commissioner (Leave Reserve) against post of AC (LR) created vide letter of even number, dated 23-7-90 for this period. With effect from 27-6-1986 (A.N.) Shri Kapoor was officiating in the Service (HPAS) and was posted as Deputy Director (T & A), H. P. and retired from Government service on 31-8-88 (A.N.) on attaining the age of superannuation."

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 26 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या कार्मिक (सं 0 प्रो I) बी (2) 1/84-भाग-2.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, श्री ललित कुमार, अधीक्षक ग्रेड-II को अनुभाग अधिकारी (द्वितीय श्रेणी) वेतनमान रुपये 2000-50-2400-60-2700-75-3000-100-3500 जमा रुपये 200/- विशेष वेतन के पद पर हिमाचल प्रदेश सचिवालय में प्रथमतः ७: मास की अवधि पर तदर्थ आधार पर पदोन्नत करने के तत्काल सहर्ष आदेश करते हैं ।

यह पदोन्नति माननीय सर्वोच्च न्यायालय में दायर अपील नं 0 2615/87 (श्री गुरु लाल नेगी और अन्य बनाम भारत राम कपरेट और अन्य) और माननीय प्रशासनिक प्राधिकरण हिमाचल प्रदेश में दायर अपील नं 0 4/1988 (श्री गोबिंद राज और अन्य बनाम हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य और अन्य) और अपील नं 0 161/1988 (श्री ज्योती स्वरूप और अन्य बनाम हिमाचल प्रदेश राज्य और अन्य) के अन्तिम निर्णय पर निर्भर होगी ।

इस पदोन्नति के फलस्वरूप उपरोक्त अधिकारी का इस पद पर नियुक्त रहने, बरिष्ठता या नियमित पदोन्नति पर अधिकार नहीं होगा ।

श्री ललित कुमार को अनुभाग अधिकारी के पद पर पदोन्नति के फलस्वरूप उन्हें बहुदेशीय परियोजना अनुभाग में रिक्त पद पर तैनात किया जाता है ।

आदेश से,  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
मुख्य सचिव ।

अन्त्योदय विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 17 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या अन्त्योदय-एफ(1) 2/90.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश 'दरिद्र नारायण कोष' के प्रचालन हेतु अनुबन्ध 'क' में संलग्न दिशा-निर्देशों के लिए सहर्ष स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं ।

अनुबन्ध 'क'

दरिद्र नारायण कोष हिमाचल प्रदेश के प्रचालन हेतु निर्देश

1. राज्य के मुख्यालय पर एक निधि का गठन होगा जिसे 'दरिद्र नारायण कोष' कहा जायेगा ।

2. निधि के लिए योगदान :

दरिद्र नारायण कोष व्यक्तियों, संगठनों तथा निगमित निकायों द्वारा दिये गए सीधे योगदान से बनगा ।

3. कोष के लिए योगदान :

(1) सरकारी कर्मचारी कोष के योगदान में स्वयं को सम्मिलित कर सकेंगे । कोष के लिए धन का योगदान स्वेच्छा से अभियान चला कर, व्यक्तिगत एवं सामूहिक उत्सवों तथा समारोहों में मित्रव्ययता को प्रोत्साहित करके किया जायेगा । सरकारी कर्मचारियों द्वारा धन का संग्रह अपने सरकारी कर्तव्यों के रूप में अथवा सरकारी कार्यों जैसे लाईसेंस जारी करना तथा दस्तावेजों का पंजीकरण करना इत्यादि का हवाला देकर नहीं किया जायेगा ।

(2) कोष के लिए धन नकदी में केवल 101/- रुपये तक ही प्राप्त किया जायेगा । इससे अधिक राशि बैंक ड्राफ्ट द्वारा ही प्राप्त किया जायेगा ।

- (3) भूमि को लिए धन संग्रह प्राधिकारों द्वारा रखीय बेकार किया जायेगा और 'वर्द्धित भारागण कोष' के नाम से ही धन जमा होगा।

#### 4. लेखा

कोष में प्राप्त धन का लेखा-जोखा हिमाचल प्रदेश सहकारी बैंक की शाखाओं में रखा जायेगा। कोष के खाते का संचालन मुख्य सचिव, हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार, प्रधान सचिव (मुख्य मन्त्री) और सचिव (भारतीय), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार, में से कोई भी अधिकारी करेगा।

#### 5. कोष की व्यवस्था को लिए समिति:

वर्द्धित भारागण कोष की व्यवस्था निम्नलिखित समिति द्वारा की जाएगी:—

- |                                 |            |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| (1) मुख्य सचिव                  | अध्यक्ष    |
| (2) प्रधान सचिव (मुख्य मन्त्री) | सदस्य      |
| (3) सचिव (कल्याण)               | सदस्य      |
| (4) सचिव (नित)                  | सदस्य      |
| (5) सचिव (अन्वयोदय)             | सदस्य-सचिव |

6. वर्द्धित भारागण कोष की व्यवस्था सदस्य-सचिव के कार्यालय द्वारा गैर-सरकारी कर्मचारियों के दायित्व/ऐच्छिक सहायता से व्यवस्था सरकारी कर्मचारियों की सहायता से, जिन्हें इस कार्य के लिए कोई प्रतिष्ठित पारिश्रमिक नहीं दिया जायेगा, की जायेगा।

#### 7. उद्देश्य, जिनके लिए कोष का प्रयोग किया जायेगा:

कोष में से सहायता प्रदान करने सम्बन्धी प्रस्तावों पर विचार करते समय निम्नलिखित तथ्यों को प्रायः ध्यान में रखा जायेगा:—

- (1) अन्वयोदय परिवारों को राहत।
- (2) प्रतिभाशाली गरीब छात्रों, जो तकनीकी/व्यवसायिक अध्ययन कर रहे हों, को सहायता।
- (3) विधवाओं तथा बेसहारा महिलाओं, जिनके पास अपने बच्चों का पालन-पोषण के लिए धन का कोई साधन नहीं है, को सहायता।
- (4) उन स्वीकृत स्वीच्छिक संस्थाओं जो निर्धन तथा उपेक्षितों को भलाई, धनापन तथा समाज के उपेक्षित वर्ग को शिक्षा, बेसहारा महिलाओं को पुनर्वास और/अथवा अन्य व्यक्तिगतों आदि के उत्थान तथा उनकी देखभाल के कार्य में संलग्न हैं, को योगदान देना।
- (5) विशेष मामलों में निम्नलिखित श्रेणियों को राहत प्रदान करना:—
  - (क) परिवार के कमाने वाले सदस्य की अकस्मात्क मृत्यु।
  - (ख) कुछ गम्भीर मामलों में चिकित्सा सहायता।
- (6) ऐसे अन्य मामले जो उपरोक्त श्रेणियों में नहीं आते तथा जिन मामलों की यथावतता के बारे में समिति सन्तुष्ट हो, को सहायता।

#### 8. लेखा परीक्षा

वर्द्धित भारागण कोष की वार्षिक लेखा परीक्षा बिना किसी फीस लिए, परीक्षक, स्थानीय निधि लेखा, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा अनिवार्य रूप से की जाएगी।

आदेशानुसार,  
कवर शमशेर सिंह,  
प्रायुक्त एवं सचिव।

#### सहकारी रक्षा विभाग

#### अधिसूचना

(शिमला-171002, 19 जुलाई, 1990)

संख्या कोष ई (5)-3/88.—हिमाचल प्रदेश में कार्यरत आवासीय सहकारी समितियों के कार्य को सुचारु ढंग से चलाने व इन समितियों के बहुमुखी विकास के उद्देश्य से, राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, हिमाचल प्रदेश सहकारी सभा अधिनियम, 1968 (वर्ष 1969 का अधिनियम संख्या 3) की धारा 100 द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए आवासीय सहकारी समितियों को हिमाचल प्रदेश सहकारी सभा अधिनियम, 1971 के निधम 11 (2) (डी) में प्रावधानों को प्रचालन में लाने के लक्ष्य के लिए पत्राचार करते हैं।

आदेश द्वारा,  
के. सी. शर्मा,  
प्रायुक्त एवं सचिव।

#### शिक्षा विभाग

#### अधिसूचना

(शिमला-171002, 19 जुलाई, 1990)

संख्या शिक्षा-11-छ (5) 13/87.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, जिला कांगड़ा की योश कैंप बोर्ड द्वारा चलाई गई प्राथमिक पाठशाला कक्षा नवराणा व तंगरोटी की श्री किशोरी लाल, जे. बी. टी. 0, श्री अनूप कुमार स्वर्ण सेवी अध्यापक व श्री किशोरी लाल शर्मा, जे. बी. टी. 0 की सेवाओं सहित निम्नलिखित शर्तों पर सरकारी नियन्त्रण में लेने बारे अपनी सहर्ष स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं:—

- (1) प्राथमिक पाठशालाओं का भवन/भूमि व अन्य पाठशालाओं की सामग्री योश कैंप बोर्ड की शिक्षा विभाग के नाम करनी होगी।
- (2) उक्त अध्यापकों को सरकारी नियन्त्रण में लेने की तिथि को सबसे कनिष्ठ बरकतदा सूची में रखा जाएगा तथा उनका वतन केवल सालाना वृद्धि, पी. 0 एफ. 0 मूल वेतन तथा पेंशनरी फायदे के लिए प्रोटेक्ट किया जाएगा।

आदेश द्वारा,  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
प्रायुक्त एवं सचिव।

#### FOREST FARMING AND CONSERVATION DEPARTMENT

#### CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 25th July, 1990

No. Van (Kha) 1-3/80.—Please read the name of Shri Ajit Singh, Assistant Engineer (ad hoc) at Sl. No. 3 and the name of Shri Laiq Ram Verma, Assistant Engineer (ad hoc) at Sl. No. 5 in the Notification of even number, dated 27th June, 1990.

By order,  
S. S. SIDHU,  
F.C.-cum-Secretary.

#### GENERAL ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT (A-SECTION)

#### CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 27th July, 1990

No. GAD-A (B) 8-1/88.—In partial modification of this Department Notification No. GAD-A (B) 8-6/89, dated 2nd November, 1990, it has been decided by the Government that 13th August, 1990 (Monday) shall be observed as Public Holiday on account of 'Janamashtami' instead of 14-8-1990 in all Government Offices and Educational Institutions in Himachal Pradesh.

It will also be a holiday within the meaning of Section 25 of the Negotiable Instrument Act, 1881.

By order,  
M. S. MUKHERJEE,  
Chief Secretary.

## उद्योग विभाग

## अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 18 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या 38-49/74-उद्योग-सौच 0—समस्तव्यक्त अधिसूचना विभाग 12-3-1990 में आंशिक संशोधन करते हुये हिमाचल प्रदेश उद्योग उन्नयन विपणन एवं विज्ञापन विभाग सीमित क मनीषिन्धम एण्ड आर्टिकल आफ एसोसिएशन के आर्टिकल 128 (ए) क अन्तर्गत प्रधान की गई शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुये, राजमण्डल, हिमाचल प्रदेश निम्नलिखित को एच0पी0 एम0सी0 के बोर्ड आफ डायरेक्टर्स में सहर्ष नियुक्त करते हैं तथा यह भी आदेश करते हैं कि श्री खूर्शी राम बालनाहटा को एच0पी0 एम0सी0 के बोर्ड आफ डायरेक्टर्स में उपाध्यक्ष होंगे :-

1. श्री खूर्शी राम बालनाहटा, उपाध्यक्ष  
गांव अन्नमोडी, बाकाबाना बछुनठ,  
तहसील रोहड़, जिला शिमला।
2. श्री एस0एस0कंवर, आई0एच0एस0, निदेशक  
आयुक्त एवं सचिव (वित्त), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार,  
श्री एस0के0कावजिनका नाम क्रम संख्या 3  
पर है के स्थान पर।
3. श्री चन्द्र सैन, गांव हरीपुर, तहसील एवं जिला कुल्हू -यवो-  
महेन्द्र लाल,  
आयुक्त एवं सचिव।

तिरवाई एवं जन-स्वास्थ्य विभाग

## अधिसूचना

शिमला-171002, 18 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या तिरवाई 11-9/89-सिरमौर—यतः हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल को यह प्रतीत होता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को सरकारी व्यय पर सार्वजनिक प्रयोजन के लिए नामतः गांव बालना, तहसील राजगढ़, जिला सिरमौर में उठाऊ तिरवाई योजना के निर्माण के लिए भूमि ली जानी अपेक्षित है, अतएव एतद्वारा यह घोषित किया जाता है कि निम्नलिखित विस्तृत विवरणी में वर्णित भूमि उपर्युक्त प्रयोजन के लिए अपेक्षित है।

2. भूमि अर्जन अधिनियम, 1894 की धारा 6 के उपबन्धों के अधीन सभी सम्बन्धित व्यक्तियों की सूचना के लिए घोषणा की जाती है तथा उक्त अधिनियम की धारा 7 के उपबन्धों के अधीन समाहर्ता, भू-अर्जन, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग, सोलन को एतद्वारा उक्त भूमि के अर्जन के लिए आदेश लेने का निर्देश दिया जाता है।

3. भूमि का रेखांक समाहर्ता, भू-अर्जन, लोक निर्माण विभाग, सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश के कार्यालय में निरीक्षित किया जा सकता है।

## विस्तृत विवरणी

जिला: सिरमौर तहसील: राजगढ़

गांव	खसरा नं०	क्षेत्र	
		बि०	बि०
1	2	3	4
अक्षना	455/2/1	1	0
	810/772/612	0	2
	613/1	1	4
	861/610/1	0	5
	787/736/395/1	0	9
किता ..	5	3	0

आदेश द्वारा,  
अ० कु० महोपाय,  
सचिव।

## उद्योग विभाग

## अधिसूचनाएं

शिमला-2, 11 जुलाई, 1990

सं० उद्योग-11 (छ) (13) 3/84—इस विभाग की समस्तव्यक्त अधिसूचना विभाग 30-10-1987 का अधिसूचना करते हुए, हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, नाहन फाउंडरी में 1000/- रुपये मूल्य के एक पीयर की श्री एस0के0काव, भूतपूर्व वित्तियुक्त एवं सचिव (वित्त) के नाम से कंवर अमरेश सिंह के नाम अधिसूक्त एवं सचिव (वित्त) हिमाचल प्रदेश के नाम पर विभाग 2-4-1990 में स्थापना/स्थित करने की गतने स्वीकृति प्रदान करने हैं।

शिमला-2, 18 जुलाई, 1990

संख्या उद्योग (छ) 8-5/86-पाटे.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, हिमाचल प्रदेश खादी एवं ग्रामीणोद्योग बोर्ड के लेटर, 1966 की धारा 4 की उप-धारा (1) और (2) के अन्तर्गत प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, श्री कृष्ण चन्द्र, माफत दीपक देवगव भोजनानय, मिशन बाजार, शिमला को हिमाचल प्रदेश खादी एवं ग्रामीणोद्योग बोर्ड के सदस्य तथा अध्यक्ष, नियुक्त करने में सहर्ष स्वीकृति प्रदान करते हैं। अध्यक्ष की नियुक्ति की शर्तें और निबन्धन बाद में अलग से जारी की जाएगी।

## शुद्धि-पत्र

शिमला-2, 19 जुलाई 1990

सं० उद्योग-11 (ख) 1-1/82.—इस विभाग द्वारा जारी किया गया शुद्धि-पत्र समस्तव्यक्त दिनांक 26-6-1990 में क्रम संख्या 2 पर, श्री एस0एस0 विद्यार्थी, वित्तियुक्त (जन-जातीय विकास), हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार के स्थान पर, श्री एस0एस0कंवर (विकास) हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार पड़ा जाय।

आदेश द्वारा,  
हर्ष गुप्ता,  
आयुक्त एवं सचिव।

## अम विभाग

## अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 28 जून, 1990

संख्या 19-8/90-अम.—राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश औद्योगिक विवाद अधिनियम, 1947 की धारा-17(1) में निहित शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए पीठासीन अधिकारी, अम न्यायालय/औद्योगिक अधिकरण, हिमाचल प्रदेश द्वारा निम्नलिखित मामलों में दिये गये एवाइज को हिमाचल प्रदेश राजपत्र में प्रकाशन करने के सहर्ष आदेश देत हैं :-

क्र० सं०	केस नं०	सम्बन्धित पक्षों के नाम	धारा	टिप्पणी
1.	35/89	श्रीमती सरला देवी बनाम मै० इम्पेक्ट एंटरप्राइज, परवाणू, जिला सोलन, हिमाचल प्रदेश।	धारा-10	—
2.	109/86	श्री बसन्त राम बनाम (i) एच० आर० टी० सी० सरकारघाट, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश, (ii) डिवीजनल मैनेजर, एच० आर० टी० सी०, मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश।	"	—
3.	69/88	सर्वश्री रूप चन्द, जोगेश्वर सिंह बनाम अधीक्षण अभियन्ता, हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक निर्माण विभाग, प्रथम वृत्त, मण्डी।	"	—
4.	70/88	श्रीमती मनोरमा देवी बनाम अधीक्षण अभियन्ता (बी एण्ड आर०) प्रथम वृत्त, हि०प्र० लो० नि० विभाग, मण्डी।	"	—

आदेशानुसार,  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
वित्तियुक्त एवं सचिव।

Before: Smt. D. P. Sood, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case No. 35 of 1989

Smt. Sarla Devi .. Petitioner.

Versus

M/s. Impact Enterprises, Sector-2, Parwanoo, District Solan, H.P. .. Respondent.

Shri A. K. Sharma, Authorised Representative with Petitioner.

Shri V. K. Gupta, Authorised Representative of the Respondent.

### AWARD

Aggrieved with the denial of work by the Respondents' Management in their Factory, the petitioner approached this Court in the instant reference through the Government of Himachal Pradesh for its adjudication on the following points:—

"Whether the termination from the services Smt. Sarla Devi by the Management of M/s. Impact Enterprises, Sector-2, Parwanoo, is legal and maintainable. If illegal, to what relief and amount of compensation Smt. Sarla Devi is entitled to?"

2. Smt. Sarla Devi, the petitioner while she was working in Shearing Machine Section as a Helper in the Factory of the Respondents, met with an accident has thereby sustained injuries on her two fingers (index and middle, out of which first phalanx of the index finger of her right hand was amputated. She had joined the Management of the Respondents on 25-5-1987 on a consolidated salary of Rs. 450/- per month. The record further shows that after sustaining the aforesaid injury on 14-5-1988, she remained under temporary disability upto 26-3-1988 and then reported for duty on the following day after submitting a fitness certificate from a Medical expert of the ESI dispensary. Subsequently, she having suffered inflammation on her both hands due to working in the Factory of the Respondent, she went on leave by submitting a fresh medical certificate till 22-9-1988 from the aforesaid Medical Expert. The facts with respect to the sustaining of injuries referred to above and remaining on leave on two different occasions are reflected from the documentary evidence Ex. PW-1/A to Ex. PW-1/V.

3. According to the petitioner, she was denied work when she reported for duty from 22-9-1988 onwards. She claims to have made efforts for resuming her duty till 24-9-1988, but the Management of the Respondents, did not allow her ingress in the factory on the pretext that they had no work. Demand notice was served to the owner and a copy thereof was sent to the Labour Inspector. On the failure of the Conciliation efforts, the instant dispute was finally referred for adjudication before this Court by the Government of Himachal Pradesh under the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act').

4. In her statement of claims, the petitioner claimed that she was fit to resume duty and could work till date in the Shearing Machine Section; that denial of work w.e.f. 23-9-1988 without any notice on the part of the Respondent amounted to illegal termination and in the alternative, the said termination even if held to be valid, amounted to retrenchment in terms of Section 25F of the Act, the non-compliance whereof on their part tantamounts to unfair labour practice. In view thereof, she asserted that she was entitled to be re-instated with all the consequential benefit of back wages as well.

5. The Management on the other hand, admitted the sustaining of injuries on the two fingers of the right hand of the petitioner but contended that the petitioner

had herself abandoned the services because of sustaining permanent disability/partial loss of earning capacity which amounted to continued ill-health as contemplated with Section 2(00) (C) of the Act. The remaining allegation regarding refusal of ingress in the Factory premises and service of Demand Notice upon their Management have been denied. In any way, according to them, her services were never terminated and even before the Labour Inspector, the petitioner did not agree to resume duty as a Helper due to permanent partial disablement disclosed her inability to attend that job. In that view of the matter, the assertions with respect to retrenchment and non-compliance of Section 25F of the Act have been contended to be untenable. However, the Management also showed their willingness to re-employ her on the job afresh provided she was able to attend the same job physically. It was contended that the petitioner work was satisfactory and as such there was no cause to terminate her services any time.

6. On the pleadings of the parties vide order dated 6-2-1990, this Court framed the following issues:—

1. Whether the services of the petitioner have been terminated by the Respondents as alleged? (OPP).
2. In case issue No.1 is decided in the affirmative, whether the petitioner is entitled to be considered in continuous service of the respondents with her entitlement to all benefits thereto as alleged? (OPP).

Relief.

7. In support of claim, the petitioner appeared as PW-1 as a solitary witness and admitted and proved the contents of Photostat copies Ex. PW-1/A to Ex. PW-1/V, which pertains to the date of accident and the period of treatment undergone by the petitioner. As PW-1, she has reiterated the allegation made in her statement of claim. In cross-examination, the suggestion that she herself refused to work on the Shearing Machine or abandoned her services, have been denied. However, she admitted that she was insured under ESI Scheme, consequent to which, she got a compensation from the ESI Corporation to the tune of Rs. 4450/- for suffering the aforesaid injuries on her right hand. She also denied the fact that even before the Labour Inspector on 10-10-1988 she was asked by the representative of the Respondents to her job.

8. In rebuttal, though the respondents were afforded opportunity to produce evidence, but on the dates so fixed neither Respondents nor his witnesses appeared nor any list of witnesses had been filed and consequently, the respondents evidence was ordered to be closed vide order dated 21-4-1990 by this Court.

9. The learned Authorised Representative of the petitioner has urged that this is not a case where the worker i.e. petitioner is not in a position to work, and the amputation of first phalanx of the index finger and injuries of middle finger cannot in the circumstances, be termed as continued ill-health as contemplated under Section 2(00) (C) of the Act. It is urged that on the *ex parte* evidence adduced by the petitioner, the factum of the Management of Respondents having not allowed ingress in the Factory premises by itself amounted to retrenchment under Section 25 F of the Act and consequent non-compliance thereof by the amounts to unfair labour practice on their part. Further it is submitted that in view of the willingness shown by the Respondents to re-employ the petitioner afresh in their reply, by itself shows that petitioner is capable of discharging her duties as Helper in the 'Shearing Machine Section'. Thus in that view it is contended that she is also entitled to be re-instated with all the consequential benefits of back wages.

10. The Management on the other hand, contended that Smt. Sarla Devi was a worker engaged as Helper,

in the 'Shearing Machine Section' and in the present condition of the petitioner, *she would not be able to work in that Section as before* and as if she is engaged, that will result in improper handling of the Machine and also cause accident to herself and loss to the Factory; that even earlier she had herself abandoned the job because of having suffered permanent disablement due to amputation of index finger and injuries to the middle finger of her right hand. It is contended that it is contract of service, which is terminated. A contract of service requires physical fitness of the workman. Therefore, if a workman is discharged on the ground of ill-health, it is because he/she was unfit to discharge service, which he/she is undertaken under the contract to render. According to Management, the petitioner was engaged in a particular Section, namely, 'Shearing Machine Section' as a Helper, where she had to deal with the Shearing Machine and due to the loss of index finger, the workman will not be able to do the same work in the same manner as efficiently as before. In fact because of the petitioner having sustained the above said injuries on her right hand, her case falls within the ambit of Section 2 (oo) (C) of the Act and this in that view of the matter, her discharge from services on the said Machine does not amount to termination. Rather according to them, her services had never been terminated. She herself abandoned the services by not resuming her duties despite willingness shown by the Respondent Management. Reliance has been placed by the Respondents on the observation made in the case of *WORKMEN of Bangalore Woollen, Cotton & Silk Mills Co., Ltd. v/s Bangalore Woollen, Cotton and Silk Mills Co., Ltd.* reported in (1961-62) XXI, F.S.R.538(SC) and (ii) *Match and Plywood Mazdoor Sabha v/s Star wood works Cheruvannur and Another*, reported in (1984) 16, Labour and Industrial Cases-1375 (Gerala High Court).

11. I have carefully gone through oral as also documentary evidence on record. I have also considered the arguments advanced by the rival parties. To my mind, the petitioner in the instant case is entitled to the relief sought so far in the instant petition.

## Issues 1&2 :

12. Both issues 1 and 2 are intrinsically mixed up with one another, as such I proceed to decide them together.

13. As already observed, only petitioner has appeared as her sole witness reiterated the allegations so made in her statement of claim. In her cross-examination, she has admitted that she was insured under the ESI scheme, that consequent to sustaining of injuries to the index and middle fingers of her right hand, she had received compensation to the tune of Rs. 4,450/- from the ESI Corporation. However, she denied the suggestions that she refused to work on Shearing Machine on the asking of the Respondents management before the Labour Inspector on 10-10-1988. The factum of her being on duty on 27-8-1988 after submitting her fitness certificate and thereafter again going on leave on 13-9-1988 due to sustaining of inflammation on her both the hands regarding which she also submitted Medical Certificate which has been admitted by the petitioner in her cross-examination as well. There is no rebuttal to the above said evidence.

14. From the overall assessment of the entire evidence on record, the following facts stands proved :—

- (i) That the petitioner was discharging functions and performing her duty as helper on the Shearing Machine the Respondents Factory.
- (ii) That while working as such, she did sustain injuries on her index and middle fingers and she remained under treatment for the period about three months.
- (iii) That during the aforesaid treatment of the petitioner the first phalanx of the index finger of her right hand was amputated and consequently she did receive compensation to the tune of Rs. 4,450/-.

- (iv) That after her recovery, she re-joined her duty in the respondents factory on 27-8-1988, but again she went on leave because of having sustaining inflammation on her both the hands while working in the factory.
- (v) That the Medical experts working in the ESI dispensary had found the petitioner to be fit person to discharge her duties as Helper in the 'Shearing Machine Section' and consequent thereto the respondents did not raise any objection to the effect that her permanent disablement consequent to the amputation of phalanx of index finger of her right hand has made her unfit to work in the same manner as she used to do earlier and in any way she having sustained permanent loss of earning capacity, her case fell within the ambit of Section 2 (oo) (c) of the Act. It is to be noticed that respondents have not raised any such objection in their pleadings as well before this Court.
- (vi) That *vide* their pleadings, the respondents even subsequently showed their willingness to take the petitioner in their employment in the same Section even during the pendency of this dispute before the Labour Inspector of their own showing. Thus, the arguments is that, her case was of a continued ill-health as per Section 2 (oo) (c) of the Act, has no merit and is liable to be rejected.

15. In the instant case it is to be noticed that petitioner had already submitted her fitness certificate in the initial stage after recovery for the purpose of resuming duty as Helper in the 'Shearing Machine' in the respondents factory, which was accepted by the later. On the contrary, no iota of evidence has been adduced by them to show that the aforesaid permanent disablement of the petitioner due to amputation of phalanx of her index finger of the right hand had in any way made her unable to discharge her duties properly and in the same manner she used to do prior to the accident referred to above nor there is any evidence on record to show that she had herself abandoned her services rather from the sole testimony of the PW-1. The factum of her having detained on the main gate by the Management of respondents patently reflected. Her statement on oath further goes to show that she did make an attempt to submit the Medical certificate subsequently as well, but she was not given any ingress in the factory premises of the respondents by the later continuously for three to four days which ultimately gave a rise to the instant case. Thus, the overall assessment of the entire evidence on what so angle it may be viewed, impels me to infer that respondents are at fault in not having the petitioner to resume her duty as Helper on the 'Shearing Machine Section' of their factory.

16. In the case of workman of *Bangalore Woollen, Cotton and Silk Mills Co., Ltd. v. Bangalore Woollen Cotton and Silk Mills Co., Ltd.*, 10 workmen out of 23 were held to have been discharged on the ground of their ill-health which fact was pleaded and proved by the respondents. In the said circumstances, it was observed that a contract of service requires physical fitness in the workman. Therefore, if a workman is discharged on the ground of ill-health, it is because he was unfit to discharge service, which he had undertaken under the contract to render.

17. In the instant case, no such case has been pleaded by the respondents, as such the aforesaid principle is not applicable to the facts and circumstances of the instant case. In the other case of *Match and Plywood Mazdoor Sabha v. Star Wood Works, Cheruvannur and another*, 1984 LAB.I.C. 1375, the Management had produced evidence both oral and documentary, that the workman while working in the factory met with an accident and thereby lost three fingers. The workman in question had lost 30% of his earning capacity. This fact has not been disputed by both the parties in the said case. Thus the Labour Court held that denial of work by the respondent was justified and that the case of the workman concerned fell within the ambit of Section

2 (oo) (C) of the Act and thus on the analogy referred to above, it was held that it amounted to discharge of the service and not termination of the concerned workman. As observed above, no such case has been pleaded by the respondents in the instant case. Rather on the other hand they have shown their willingness to take the petitioner in their employment on her earlier job. Thus from whatsoever angle the facts and circumstances of the instant case viewed, the petitioner by cogent and convincing evidence had shown that her service had been terminated by the respondents arbitrarily and illegally, consequent she is entitled to all the consequential benefits arising therefrom besides being re-instated on the same job for the reasons that she served the respondents for more than 240 days continuously. Both issues 1 & 2 are decided accordingly.

#### Relief :

18. In view of the discussions made above, an award in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent is passed to the effect that termination of services of petitioner by the Management of Respondents was illegal, arbitrary and contrary to the rules of natural justice, equity and fairplay. She is further held to be entitled to re-instatement in her service from the date of her termination by deeming her to be in continuous service and entitled to receive all the back wages of Rs. 450/- per month along with other benefit, if any. Respondents are directed to make the payment to the petitioner within a month from the date of the publication of the aforesaid award in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra. The office of this Court is directed to send a copy of this award to the Government of Himachal Pradesh in compliance with Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. On receipt of another copy of the Gazette, this office is directed to annexe the same with concerned file. The parties are left to bear their own costs. Memo of costs be prepared.

Announced today the 3rd May, 1990 in Open Court.

Seal.

D. P. SOOD,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, H.P., Shimla.

Before Shri D. P. Sood, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case No. 109/89

Shri Basant Ram

.. Petitioner.

Versus

(i) H.R.T.C. Sarkaghat, District Mandi.

(ii) Divisional Manager, H.R.T.C., Mandi.  
.. Respondents.

Shri P.L. Bery, Authorised Representative with petitioner.

Shri R.L. Kaith, Authorised Representative of Respondent.

#### AWARD

Basant Ram Petitioner has raised an Industrial Dispute by approaching this Court through the Government of Himachal Pradesh seeking relief with respect to :-

- (i) i.e. regularisation of his service as Chowkidar after the completion of 240 days from the date of his appointment in service i.e. 21-12-1981.
- (ii) Entitlement as to the regular scale and allowances equivalent to other regular employees of the same categories.
- (iii) Entitlements to Gazetted Holidays, Annual leave, Sick leave and Casual leave etc.
- (iv) Payment of bonus and Provident Fund since the inception of his service.

Admittedly, the petitioner was appointed on 21-12-1981 in HRTC Workshop, Sarkaghat and he has been continuously discharging the duties as chowkidar and also that of Peon. He is still in service. There is also no controversy that HRTC Workshop at Sarkaghat has been registered under the Factories Act, 1948 and thus is covered under the expression "Factory" within the ambit of the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as the 'Act') and also the Respondents workshop is the wing of HRTC Corporation creation of the statute and is the Corporation. The Petitioner is a "workman" being an employee of the aforesaid Corporation. As per assertion of the Petitioner, he being an ex-serviceman has continuously been discharging the duties of Chowkidar in the Respondents Corporation since the date of his recruitment without any breaks and thus he had completed 240 days on 17-8-1982. Thus, under the provisions of the Act, he was entitled to be paid the salary of chowkidar equivalent to that of other employee of the same categories along with other consequential benefits attached thereto under the rules applicable to the employees of the Respondents Corporation. He further claim to have made representations to this effect to the Respondents, but of no avail. Ultimately a Demand notice was served with a copy to the Labour Officer, but no amicable settlement could be arrived at. Thereafter, the Government of Himachal Pradesh through Secretary Labour referred this dispute to this Court for its final adjudication on the points referred to above.

3. The petitioner reiterated his claim in his Statement of claim filed before this Court, which stood refuted in toto by the Respondents. According to the later, the petitioner was appointed as a Casual Labourer and he was being paid wages under the Minimum Wages Act. They contended that the petitioner having not been recruited as a daily wage, the question of regularisation of his services after completion of 240 days did not at all arise. The further allegation regarding the petitioners representations having so been made to the Respondents, has also been denied. Rather, the petitioner is stated to be over-age. his date of birth being 1-7-1933 and on that account, it is contended that he is not entitled for regular service in the Respondent Corporation. Further contention of the Respondent as per para 5 of the reply is that according to the rules in the Respondent Corporation, only daily wage employees are paid salaries equivalent to that of regular employees, except House Rent Allowance etc. Entitlement of the petitioner with respect to items I to IV referred to above have totally been denied by the Respondent Corporation.

4. From the pleadings of the parties, my learned Predecessor vide his order, dated 4-4-1989, framed the following issues :-

1. Whether petitioner is entitled to regular pay after completion of 240 days ? (OPP)
2. Whether petitioner has not been allowed to avail Gazetted holidays/have entitled. If not, its effect? (OPP)
3. Whether petitioner is entitled to payment of bonus and CPF? (OPP)
4. Whether petitioner is an casual employee and not daily rated as claimed by the respondents. If so, its effect? (OPR)
5. Relief.

5. Issues 1 and 4 both these issues are intrinsically mixed up with each other. As such with a view to avoid discussions on evidence adduced by the parties on this account, I decide these issues together.

6. Onus of issue 1 pertaining to the fact that petitioner is entitled to regular pay after the completion of 240 days is upon the petitioner, whereas the onus to show that petitioner is a casual worker of the Corporation, heavily laid upon the Respondents.

The petitioner has appeared as PW-1 and is the sole witness in respect of his claim referred to above. He has reiterated the assertions so made by him. In

his sole testimony, he has proved letter dated 6-3-1987 issued by the Respondent's Divisional Manager of the Corporation at Mandi Depot to the Secretary of Himachal Parivahan Mazdoor Sangh, Mandi, which reveals that the claim of the petitioner through the aforesaid Union has been refuted and they have been apprised that there was no post of Chowkidar and in case of any vacancy, it shall be filled up through Employment Exchange in accordance with rules. The other Letter Ex. P-2 is the demand notice served by the petitioner through the Union along with its forwarding letter Ex. P-3 to the Labour Officer concerned. Petitioner has also proved letter, dated 24-4-1988 (Ex. P-4) addressed to the Assistant Manager, Sarkaghat Depot of Mandi Division of the Corporation claiming provident fund in accordance with law. He has stated that petitioner is being paid Rs. 415/- per month and further that petitioner was not allowed one day leave after one weeks work apart from Gazetted Holidays for Republic Day and Independence Day. According to him, Annual Leave, casual/medical leave was not allowed to him nor he was paid bonus and Provident Fund. In his cross-examination, he admitted that no letter of appointment was issued to him nor he was sponsored by the Employment Exchange nor he demanded higher wages prior to issuance of demand notice nor he raised the claim with respect to bonus or deduction of provident fund or grant of leave etc. in writing prior to the aforesaid notice. He further admits that in the year, 1985-86, he was sponsored by the Employment Exchange for the post of Peon, but remained unfortunate in selection.

7. In rebuttal, the Corporation have produced three witnesses namely S/Shri Prabh Dayal, Parkash Chand and Amar Singh, RWS. 1 to 3. RW-1 was Accountant in the respondent's workshop at Sarkaghat at the material time till September, 1984. He deposed that the petitioner was posted as casual labourer in December, 1981 and was paid accordingly. According to him he was not a daily wage worker. Further, he showed ignorance regarding the fact as to whether the petitioner was reportedly appointed as casual worker with intermittent breaks or not. He then stated that the petitioner was being paid from contingent fund. In his cross-examination, he admitted that casual workers could be appointed only for 90 days at one time and in case he is to be re-employed in the same Department, his services are required to be terminated for a short break. He admitted that during the tenure of his service, petitioner used to discharge his duties as Chowkidar and sometime as a Peon. He then showed ignorance whether there had been intermittent breaks as stated by him above. According to him, Puran Chand served as Chowkidar at Sarkaghat workshop of the respondents for a period of 2 to 2 and half years and petitioner was also his co-worker during his tenure of service.

RW-2, Parkash Chand remained as Establishment Clerk from May, 1981 till May, 1986 in the aforesaid Sarkaghat sub-unit of the Respondents. He also made a similar statement regarding the designation of the petitioner and the payment out of the contingent fund according to the basis of rates fixed by the then Deputy Commissioner, Mandi. He admitted the petitioner to be an Ex-serviceman. In his cross-examination, he stated that the petitioner some time discharged the duties of Chowkidar and some time as Peon.

RW-3 Shri Amar Singh, Superintendent of the aforesaid sub-unit of the Respondents also reiterated the factum of the petitioner being a casual labourer and his payment having been paid under the Minimum Wages Act. According to him, his casual leave used to be calculated as a casual worker. He then stated that there had been intermittent breaks in the service of the petitioner i.e. 3 days for the year, 1981 for the first time, one day for the year, 1985 and 8 days for the year, 1987 respectively. In this respect, he proved documents Ex. RW-3/A to Ex. RW-3/D. In his cross-examination, he frankly admitted that there was no order of termination of the petitioner's services nor that of re-appointment/fresh appointment. He further stated

that the petitioner did not get any Casual/Sick/Annual leave nor the bonus. This is the entire evidence before this Court.

8. From the close perusal of the abovesaid evidence on both the issues referred to above, it is evident that the petitioner had completed 240 days after 21-12-1981 i.e. the date of his first appointment in service of the Corporation. It is also pertinent to notice that neither the services of the petitioner were terminated at any time by any letter in writing nor he was re-employed and given fresh appointment in the said mode by the Respondent Corporation. In other words, he was not at all affected by issuance of any letter of appointment. His services were utilized by the Respondent's Corporation as that of Chowkidar and some time as that of Peon continuously and without any breaks.

9. There is no gain-saying the fact that if a casual worker completes 240 days in service, he is entitled to be regularised and further to all benefits of a regular employee in that category. In fact in the instant case, the respondents should have regularised the services of the petitioner just after the completion of 240 days as per rules. At this stage, it is essential to detail that Shri R.L. Kaith, Authorised Representative of the Respondent Corporation has herely and squarely conceded that no Certified Standing orders have been framed by the Respondent Corporation and in the absence Central Civil Services (Classification Control & Appeal) Rules, 1965, have been made applicable to their employees throughout the State. The copy of the rules have not been produced nor had been shown for any acceptance from the State Government as envisaged under section 13-B read with Section 14 of Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 have or have not been sought for nor there is any evidence to show that the said rules have so been certified by the Certifying authority of the said act. In any case, even if the absence of Model Standing Rules are taken into consideration with respect to the applicability to the petitioner, the oral as well as of the entries, the facts and circumstances emerging go to prove that the petitioner falls within the term "daily wage worker/employee" whose services shall have been regularised. In fact the petitioner cannot be said to be at fault for the reasons that it was for the Department to have taken action with respect to the termination of his service and offering a fresh appointment to him before, the completion of 90 days of service intentionally from 1981 till date. That having not been done, the Respondents Corporation or their authorities under whom the petitioner worked during the tenure of service, are to be blamed. In fact the Department should apply the principle of acceptability with respect of the defaulter in this respect mainly for the reasons that petitioner once held to be a regular worker of the Department, the later would have to part with the sufficiently big amount by way of amount in terms of encashment of his Annual/Earned Leave/Contributory Provident Fund/Bonus and other benefit arising consequent thereto. From whatsoever angle, the case of the petitioner is viewed, he has been able to prove himself for completion of 240 days. He is a daily wage worker after having though not initially employed through Employment Exchange and then his services are liable to be regularised. Respondent, on the contrary, have failed to issue 4 by any production of any positive evidence and the issues 1 & 4 are decided accordingly.

10. Issues 2 & 3 both these issues are intrinsically mixed up with each other and in order to avoid the repetition of discussion of evidence, I decide these issues together.

11. On discussion of Issues 1 & 4 I have held the petitioner to be a daily wage worker entitled to pay according to the salaries pay by the Respondent Corporation to other employees of the same category. Admittely as per PW-1 said that the petitioner did not avail of any Casual/Sick or Earned Leave nor he got

bonus. He further has stated that neither his share of provident fund was deducted from his wages whatsoever had been paid to him nor the share of the employer have been disbursed to him till date. However, in cross-examination he has admitted to have availed of Gazetted National Holidays. The respondent's own case is that only daily wage workers/employees are entitled to be paid salaries of a regular employees except House Rent Allowance etc. as per the rules. In other words, Contributory Provident Fund, bonus, Annual Leave etc. are being availed of by other regular employees. Sick Leave or Casual Leave can only be availed by the employees during the particular year. Petitioner has not stated anything about the fact that he never fell sick and applied for Casual Leave. Casual leave can be availed of by an employee in a particular year. Thus, in the said circumstances, the petitioner cannot be held entitled to Sick or Casual Leave though to other kinds of leave, he is entitled.

12. No doubt, Annual/Earned leave to a daily wage worker cannot be accumulated for more than 45 days in a year under the Factories Act. In the instant case, the respondents are to blame for not having allowed any such kind of leave of the petitioner in view of the discussions made above. In other words, the respondents are liable to be held to have refused Annual/Earned Leave in the case of the petitioner. In such circumstances, it cannot be said that the benefit of Annual/Earned leave with respect to the accumulation from the date of his appointment till date in terms of money cannot be carried over or the petitioner cannot be given the benefit of encashment thereof. Once he has been held to be a regular employee, he is entitled to CPF as I am told by Shri R.L. Kaith, Authorised Representative of the Respondents that compensation except from the applicability of Employment Provident Fund and Miscellaneous Provisions Act, 1952. In any case in that case as well, the petitioner shall be entitled to CPF *vis-a-vis* the share of the employer irrespective of the fact that employees share has not been deducted till date. In view of the facts stated above, I also hold that the petitioner is entitled to be given bonus for his entire tenure of service from the date of his appointment. Thus, respondent having failed to adduce evidence in support of their contention raised before this Court, issues 2&3 are decided in favour of the petitioner and against the respondents as well.

13. At this stage, it would be essential to observe that the petitioner is entitled to pay on the principle of "equal pay for equal work" as held by the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in catena of cases, yet, the said formula would be applied in case of the petitioner on the basis of Minimum scale to which an employee of the same category would have been entitled to. The petitioners pay shall be calculated by the respondent's corporation in view of the said observations so made without counting any increments till date of publication of this order in the Official Gazette.

14. Further, in the absence of any evidence on record, to the fact of non framing of Certified Standing Orders or not seeking of any exemption from the State Government under the aforesaid Section 13-B and 14 of the Act, the same amount to compensation given of offices by the corporation. How and why the said Certified Standing Orders have not been framed and why the exemptions have not been sought so far is the matter to be viewed seriously by this Court as well. The authorities concerned would make it expedient now to take immediate steps for doing the needful in the matter and in the absence thereof, to take action against the defaulters under Section 32 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

15. In view of the discussions made above, the following effect is passed in favour of the petitioner and against the respondents that the petitioner is to be deemed to be a daily wage regular workman and entitled to the pay compensation, Sick/Casual leave till date of this order and Consequential benefits as other regular employees of the Corporation are entitled

to from the date of his appointment till date in his category. Further he is entitled to accumulation carried over to accumulated Annual/Earned leave or in the alternative for encashment of the said leave in terms of money payment by the respondents. The petitioner shall also be entitled to the costs of this petition at a lump-sum of Rs. 300/-. Memo of the prepared. Let the copy of this Award be sent to the Government for its due publication in the Official Gazette *i.e.* Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra with the directions that another copy thereof on receipt of the same by this office be also annexed with the case file. The case file be consigned to the record room after its due completion.

Announced today, the 20th April, 1990.

Seal.

D. P. SOOD,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court, Shimla 20-4-1990.

Before Shri D. P. Sood, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla

Case No. 69/88

S/Shri Roop Chand, Jogeshwer Singh .. Petitioners.

Versus

Superintending Engineer, H.P.P.W.D., 1st Circle, Mandi (H.P.) .. Respondents.

Shri Pyare Lal Bery, Authorised Representatives of the Petitioners.

Shri M. L. Chowdhery, Authorised Representative of the Respondents.

#### AWARD

The first petitioner was employed as Supervisor on the National Highway No.21, *i.e.* Mandi-Pandoh Road whereas the second petitioner joined service with the respondents on 4-1-1983. Their services were terminated by the respondents in the year, 1988. Consequent thereto, both raised an industrial dispute arising out of aforesaid termination earlier before the Labour Inspector after serving demand notice and thereafter before the Labour Commissioner. However, on failure of conciliation efforts, the instant dispute was referred to this court by the Government of Himachal Pradesh *vide* notification, dated, August 27, 1988. The dispute precisely referred for adjudication was as follows :—

"Whether the termination of services of S/Shri Roop Chand, Jogeshwer Singh Rana, Beldar by the Superintending Engineer (B&R) 1st Circle, Mandi, Himachal Pradesh is legal and maintainable. If not, to what relief and amount of compensation the above-named workmen are entitled?"

2. Briefly stated the petitioners' claim is that they never worked on the road aforesaid since the date of their initial appointment. According to the first petitioner, he was posted as a stenotypist and discharged his duties as such throughout the tenure of his service till removal whereas as per claim of the petitioner No.2, he continued discharging the duties as clerk-cum-typist. However, as per their assertion muster-roll of "Morter Mate" "Belder", Road Supervisor in the case of the first petitioner and muster-rolls of "Beldar" used to be issued which the petitioner had to accept under the forced circumstances. Their claim is that they always remained in the office Division No. 2 and Circle Office of respondent Nos. 13 at Mandi during their service tenure respectively. Further allegation is that they used to be marked as daily wage Employees.

3. Despite having served the department for more than five years, and according to the instructions issued

by the Government, their services were liable to be regularised in consonance thereof; that apart from they were entitled to the salary, pay and allowances to that of the stenotypist and clerk-cum-typist respectively on the principle of "Equal pay for equal work". It is then asserted that the petitioners are workmen who served the respondent's department which, in fact, is an industry in terms of the provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Hereinafter shortly referred to as "the Act"). Apart from it, they claimed that the termination of their services is arbitrary and contrary to the rules of natural justice and consequent thereto, they are liable to be re-instated, with all the accruing benefits since the date of their removal from service by the respondents.

4. The respondents admitted the petitioners to be the employees under them for the abovesaid period stating that first petitioner was engaged as a stenotypist w.e.f. 5-12-1978 to 20-2-1979 in view of his educational qualifications but in view of his poor performance in the said post, he was directed to work in the field in various capacities from time to time, from 21-2-1979 to 11-2-1988. However, during that period as well, he being illiterate, his services used to be intermittently utilised in the office work. Regarding petitioner No. 2, the allegations have emphatically been denied except that he worked as a beldar throughout. According to the respondents their services were not terminated. However, they being daily rated workers, did not report on duty with effect from 11-2-1988 and 13-2-1988 respectively which ultimately resulted into the removal of their names from the musterrolls nor the said removal had any connection with any alleged verbal order of the Superintending Engineer (B&R) respondent No. 3, nor there was any ulterior motive. It is contended that the daily wage worker services used to be regularised on work-charged basis only on the basis of seniority and availability of sanctioned posts and the orders/instructions issued on 9-2-1988 Ex-P-1) pertain to such field staff only. In para 4 of the reply, respondents have admitted that the duties of the petitioners were utilised in the office only on emergent cases. It is contended that there being no termination of the petitioners' services in the circumstances explained above, Section 25-F and 25-N of the Act had no applicability to the peculiar circumstances of the instant case.

5. On the pleadings of the parties, my learned predecessor *vide* his order, dated 7-2-1989, framed the following issues :—

1. Whether the termination of services of the petitioners Roop Chand and Jogeshwar Singh Rana is legal? (OPR).
2. Whether the petitioners are entitled to claim pay as regular employees for the posts of stenotypists and clerks respectively? (OPP).
3. Relief.

6. Both parties have adduced evidence, oral as also documentary. This court has carefully perused the materials which have been so brought on the record. The facts which are not disputed and are incapable of being disputed, on the basis of the material which has finally come on the record are :—

- (i) That both the petitioners were the employees of the respondent's department for the period referred to above and consequently respondent's department is an "industry" they are workmen within the ambit of Section 2(s) of the Act.
- (ii) That both the petitioners served the respondent's department continuously for a period for more than five years before their removal from service.
- (iii) That muster-rolls were issued by respondents in respect of both the petitioners and they were considered as daily rated workers throughout the tenure of their service and consequently paid as such.
- (iv) That as per the instructions issued by the Government the services of daily rated workers were also entitled to be regularised under

the scheme subject to fulfilment of certain conditions mentioned therein.

- (v) That there was no written order terminating/removing the services of the petitioners in the instant case.

7. In the aforesaid admitted facts and circumstances, I now proceed to decide the instant dispute issue-wise.

#### Issue No. 2:

8. This issue pertains to the entitlement of the petitioners to pay at par with the regular employees holding the posts of stenotypists and clerks respectively in the respondent's department. At the very outset, it may be stated that as per the respondent's own showing, petitioner No. 1, Shri Roop Chand Malhotra is admitted to have been engaged as Stenotypist with effect from 5-12-1978 to 20-2-1979 and his further posting as "Mate", "Beldar" and Road Supervisor was changed/directed in the field due to his poor performance instead of keeping by him as stenotypist. At this stage, it is to be noted that the proof is in variance with their pleadings. In the evidence adduced by the respondents, they have categorically attempted to prove that first petitioner was recruited as "Mate" "Beldar" and "Road Supervisor" during the tenure of his service throughout and that he was never recruited as a stenotypist. RW-1, Shri Bhag Singh has categorically stated so. Similarly is the statement of RW-2.

#### Issue No. 2 :

9. The onus of this issue heavily lay upon the petitioner. They have produced 11 witnesses. Out of the petitioners' first petitioner Roop Chand has appeared as PW-11. Their other witnesses S/Shri Lal Singh, a Peon in Division No. 2 of the respondent's department, Lal Singh Chawla, Rajinder Pal, Jai Ram, Harnam Singh, Tej Singh, Narad Thakur, Narotam Ram and K.C. Bisht, PWs 1 to 4 and 6 to 10 beside Smt. Tara, PW-5, are all official witnesses. PWs 1, 6 & 7 were responsible persons serving in Division No. 2, in H.P. P.W.D. whereas PWs 2 to 4, 5, 9 & 10 were posted in the Circle Office at the material time. All have categorically stated that both petitioners served in the office throughout as clerk-cum-typists. According to them, they never worked in the field. PW-8 is a formal witness who simply produced the record before the court.

10. Apart from the oral evidence, the petitioners have also produced documentary evidence in the form of:

- (i) Ex-R-2, which shows letters typed by the petitioners from December, 1978 to March, 1985.
- (ii) R-20, which shows letter typed by both the petitioners in the office of the respondent No. 3 from March, 1985 to 12-2-1988.
- (iii) R-19 pertains to the stationery requirement indent submitted by both the petitioners from March, 1985 to 10-2-1988.
- (iv) R-18 is a forwarding letter authorising first petitioner to receive stationery articles placed *vide* indent dated 5-6-1986 and R-3 to R-17 showing stationery received by both the petitioners from 18-1-1986 as also 18-6-1985 onwards till the year, 1987.

11. In fact the abovesaid documents, have been admitted by the respondents. Suffice it to state that the number of letters typed out by both the petitioners as detailed in documents R-2, R-19 and R-20 exhibit voluminous nature of work done by them. Also the time spent by them for receiving the stationery from the office concerned and also from the Deputy Controller do show that they have continuously been engaged in discharging their duties in the office work in the respondent's department during the tenure of their service. Thus there is overwhelming documentary evidence on record which supports the case of the petitioners that they had throughout the tenure of their service worked in the office and not in the field as contended by the respondents.

12. Shri Roop Chand Malhotra appearing as PW-11, has re-iterated his allegations. According to him, initially he was recruited as stenotypist and the other petitioner as a clerk. He further re-iterates his claim that both of them discharged the duties as Stenotypists and Clerk-cum-typists in the office of the respondent at different intervals i.e. firstly in Division No. 2 and thereafter in Circle Office of H.P.P.W.D. (B&R) at Mandi.

13. In rebuttal, RWs 1 & 2, S/Shri Bhag Singh and R. C. Kapoor have categorically stated that first petitioner was designated as Road Supervisor in the muster-roll and he used to work at National Highway No. 21; that he used to fill up the muster-roll himself and payment used to be given to him at the site by the Assistant Engineer concerned on the basis of the muster-roll. Regarding second petitioner, he has also stated in the similar terms but did not produce any such record before this court. It is to be noted that RW-1 remained posted in Mandi Sub-Division No. 4 from January, 1983 to February, 1984. In his cross-examination he has stated that first petitioner, Shri Roop Chand used to be called in the office intermittently but he has failed to say as to on what dates, month or year, the said petitioner has gone to the office. Regarding the second petitioner he has categorically denied his ever having gone to the office and discharged the duties of a clerk.

RW-2, states about the period from 1979 to 1985 during which he remained as Junior Engineer. According to him, first petitioner worked under him for about 8 or 9 months in the field and not in the office. In his cross-examination, he has denied the suggestion of the petitioner to the contrary.

14. From the overall assessment of the evidence and position emerging therefrom, it is not capable of being disputed that although petitioner No.1 was initially engaged as a Stenotypist for a short period from 5-12-1978 to 20-2-1979 but later on, he was shown to have been engaged as daily rated Beldar like second petitioner from the later initial appointment but both actually discharged the functions and performed the duties of steno-typist and Clerk-cum-typist respectively during the relevant period. No other conclusion, is possible on the facts and circumstances of the instant case although a contrary version has been set up by the respondents in their pleadings. Rather their pleadings *vis-a-vis* the first respondent are at variance with each other as observed above. The posting of first petitioner initially is admitted to be on the job as a Steno-typist in the reply submitted by the respondents, whereas in evidence, the petitioner have made out entirely of new case to the effect that first petitioner was recruited as a Road Supervisor from the very inception of his joining the respondent's service. Suffice it to state that the oral and documentary testimony of the respondent is not trust-worthy. Rather RW-1 & 2 though holding the responsible posts have attempted to give a twist to the true facts of the instant case. It appears that despite having proper cadre strength in Class-III posts duly filled up at the material time, the respondents have taken undue advantage by recruiting additional hands in the form of petitioner under the pretext to daily rate wagers and thereby burdened the exchequer. There is no gain-saying the fact that we all contribute to the public exchequer in the form of taxes, which are supposed to be utilized by the concerned Government through their Representatives.

15. In the instant case, petitioner witnesses are more credible than that of the respondents. The claim of the respondents is that they had been discharging the official work throughout the tenure of service till removal therefrom, stands proved. It is not the case of the respondents that they had no Class-III employees to discharge the work done by the petitioners at the material time. Thus, having regard to the facts and circumstances of the instant case, the doctrine of 'equal pay for equal work' is attracted in the instant case. No doubt, the principle of 'equal

pay for equal work' is not expressly declared by our Constitution to be a fundamental right, but it certainly is a constitutional goal. Article 39(d) of the Constitution proclaims 'equal pay for equal work for both men and women' as a **DIRECTIVE PRINCIPLE OF STATE POLICY**. It is true that the petitioners in the instant case, were not regularly recruited, but as per the record, they have been discharging the duties of Steno-typist and Clerk-cum-typist like other employees of the respondent department. They are proved to be rendering the same kind of service, which was being rendered by other employees. Clause (2) of Article 38 of the Constitution of India which contains one of the Directive Principles of State Policy, provides that 'the State shall, in particular, strive to minimise the inequalities in income and endeavour to eliminate inequalities in status, facilities and opportunities, not only amongst individuals but also amongst groups of people residing in different areas or engaged in different vocations'. Even though the above Directive Principle may not be enforceable as such by virtue of Article 37 of the Constitution of India, it may be relied upon by the petitioners to show that in the instant case, they have been subjected to hostile discrimination. I am fortified taking this view by the principle laid down in the case of :—

- (i) The Dharwad Distt. P.W.D. Literate Daily Wage Employees Association & Ors., etc. *v/s* State of Karnataka & Anrs. etc., writ petition Nos. 8307-11 of 1983 decided on 23-2-1990 and reported in JT 1990(1) S.C.343;
- (ii) Ramesh Chand *v/s* State of Himachal Pradesh and another CWP No. 587 of 1987, decided on April 12, 1988;
- (iii) Jalam Singh *v/s* State of H.P. and others CWP No. 569 of 1987, decided on April 25, 1988; and
- (iv) Ravinder Singh *v/s* State of Himachal Pradesh and others, CWP No. 139 of 1988, decided on July 11, 1988.

Thus, both petitioners have succeeded in proving Issue No. 2 and consequently they are entitled to the differential wages i.e. to say, the equivalent to the wages between the rated workers as Road Supervisor in the case of the first petitioner and Beldar in the case of second petitioner and the pay of Steno-typist as also Clerk-cum-typist respectively from the dates of their respective appointments from the date of their termination till the date of decision. Issue No. 2 is decided accordingly.

#### Issue No.1 :

16. Onus of this issue heavily lay upon the respondents. No iota of evidence has been adduced by them to show as to how and in what manner, the termination of the services of the petitioners is legal and valid. Admittedly, first petitioner was recruited as Steno-typist on 5-12-1978 by the respondents as per their own showing in para 4 of their pleadings whereas second petitioner was posted as Beldar from 4-1-1983. Both according to the respondents were daily rated workers/casual workers. As per my observations made under discussion of Issue No. 2 above, both had served the respondent's Department for more than 5 years before the removal of their services. There is no evidence on record to show that respondents had prepared and maintained a list of daily rated workmen according to their seniority at the Divisional level on the basis of the available record any such evidence has been adduced to show that the names of such daily rated workers have ever been arranged on the Divisional list taking into consideration the *inter se* length of period of employment of daily rated workmen in Mandi Division. Admittedly, no written order terminating the services of the petitioners had been given nor the instructions issued by our own High Court in the case of *Liaq Ram Carpenter v/s H. P. State Electricity Board and another*, CWP No. 190 of 1984 decided on 20-10-1986 have been followed by the respondents in respect of the preparation of the aforesaid list. It would be pertinent to state here that even

no chargesheet has been framed nor either of the petitioners has been given an opportunity to defend themselves. On the contrary, there is one another official letter, dated 9-2-1988 Ex. P-1 on the record issued by the then Superintending Engineer (Respondent No. 3) whereby none of the casual workers/daily rated workers had been allowed to work in any office of the respondent. There is no other reason assigned by the respondents as to why the services of the petitioners were removed. There is also no evidence on record to show that as per the respondent, there was any surplusage and the petitioners could not be retained in service due to the said fact. Admittedly, petitioner's name was removed from the Muster-roll on 11-2-1988 and 13-2-1988 respectively. As per the respondents contention i.e. to say they were removed from service immediately after the receipt of official letter Ex. P-1 referred to above within 4 days from the date of its issuance. As per the contention of the respondent, this letter pertains to such field staff of daily wages workers' services which used to be regularised on work-charged basis only on the basis of seniority and availability of sanctioned posts. No iota of evidence has been adduced by the respondents to this effect, as to whether instructions contained in letter Ex. P-1 pertain to such staff as contended by them. Whether it also included other Casual Labourers recruited by the concerned officers of the Respondent's Department in their discretion. To my mind, the directions contained in Letter Ex. P-1 pertain to all daily rated wagers. The employment of such additional Casual Labourers might not have been in the knowledge of the then Superintending Engineer, who on coming to know such illegal activities having been committed by his subordinate, appears to have issued the said directions. Admittedly, the appointing and dismissing authority is the Assistant Engineer with the approval of Executive Engineer concerned and this fact cannot be disputed, as is reflected in the instant case.

17. Thus, viewing the facts and circumstances of the instance from any angle, whatsoever, the respondents have miserably failed to show that the petitioners termination of services was legal or valid.

18. Applying the aforesaid principle, it is well settled in the case of Mohan Lal v/s the Management of M/s Bharat Electronics, Ltd., Respondents. "Niceties and semantics apart, termination by the employer of the service of a workman for any reason, whatsoever would constitute retrenchment except in cases excepted by in the section itself. The excepted or excluded cases are where termination is by way of disciplinary action, voluntary retirement of the workman, retirement of the workman on reaching the age of superannuation, if the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned contains a stipulation in that behalf, and termination of the service of a workman on the grounds of continued ill-health".

19. Applying the abovesaid principle in the instant case, it is clear that the termination of services of the petitioner does not fall within any of the occupation to precise, excluded categories. Undoubtedly, therefore the termination of the petitioners is illegal and arbitrary. Such like termination would positively constitute retrenchment. It is well settled that where pre-requisite for varied retrenchment as laid down in Section 25 F of the Act has not been complied with retrenchment bringing about termination of service as *ab initio* void.

20. In the instant case, the petitioners claim reinstatement in service with all the consequential benefits arising therefrom. From the evidence so adduced by the petitioners, it is proved that they were retrenched as additional hands discharging the duties of Class-III employees as Steno-typist and Clerk-cum-typist in addition to the cadre strength though shown as daily rate wagers by the respondents. In other words, there was no post in existence in the cadre strength of Steno-typist and Clerk-cum-typist at the material time. In that view of the matter, ordinarily, both

the petitioners cannot be directed to be given the equivalent posts. The first petitioner has been able to prove that his appointment as Steno-typist was from the inception of his service though against rules. In the said circumstances in the foregoing reasons, an Award directing the respondents that both the petitioner be given posting as Class-III officials in the status referred to above, if presently or as and when vacancy in such category falls. In otherwise case, it is further held that both the petitioners shall be deemed to be in continuous service as Class-IV employees i.e. Road Supervisor and Beldar respectively and in that view of the matter, respondents are directed to absorb both the petitioners as daily rate wagers i.e. as Road Supervisor and Beldar respectively according to their seniority as per the seniority list so prepared in accordance with the dictum of our own Hon'ble High Court, within one month from the date of publication of this Award in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra. Respondents are further directed to pay the entire back wages to the petitioner from the date of their removal till the date of their absorption. The petitioners are further held entitled to costs of this litigation in lump sum of Rs. 400/- payable in equal share to each one of them. Memo of costs be prepared.

Let copy of this Award be sent to the Government of Himachal Pradesh for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra, with the direction to this office that on receipt of the other copy, the same be tagged with the file of the instant case. After due completion, the file be consigned to the record room.

Announced today the 12th April, 1990 in Open

Seal.

D. P. SOOD,  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court,  
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla. 12-4-1990.

Before Shri D. P. Sood, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Himachal Pradesh, Shimla-1.

Case No. 70 of 1988

Smt. Manorma

..Petitioner.

Versus

Superintending Engineer (B&R) First Circle,  
Himachal Pradesh Public Works Department, Mandi,  
Himachal Pradesh ..Respondent.

Shri P. L. Bery, Authorised Representative of  
petitioner with Petitioner.

Shri Tilak Raj, Authorised Representative of  
Respondents.

#### AWARD

The petitioner was employed as Beldar on Muster-roll basis by the Superintending Engineer (B&R) 1st Circle, H.P.P.W.D, Mandi (Second Respondent) in October, 1981 and continued to work with the respondents till 10-2-1988, when her services were alleged to have been orally terminated by them on 10-2-1988. She alleges that although she was employed as Beldar, the work actually taken from her was not of manual, but of clerical nature and she discharged functions and performed duties satisfactorily in the said Department accordingly till 9-2-1988. According to her, the termination of services was that of ulterior motive of the respondents Management on the basis of letter, dated 9-2-1988, issued by the Superintending Engineer (B&R) 1st Circle, H.P.P.W.D., Mandi, dated 9-2-1988 i.e. the second respondent and thus, the said termination is not only arbitrary, but illegal. Her further assertion is that she having continuously been employed in the aforesaid Department for more than 5 years, her services were entitled to be regularised as daily rated clerk by them. Apart from it, in the basis of the abovesaid allegations that the petitioner claims

that she is entitled to the wages as daily rated clerk for the entire period of her service from October, 1981 till 9.9.1988 in view of the doctrine of "equal pay for equal work" besides her re-instatement in service with all the consequential benefits to back wages. The petitioner has also alleged that she filed a Civil Writ Petition No. 258/88 in the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh at Shimla for seeking the said relief, but as per order, she was directed to seek the remedy from the Labour Court. Thus, the dispute arising out of such termination has ultimately been referred for adjudication to this Court *vide* Notification No. 19-8/87, Shimla, dated 23.8.1988. The dispute presumably referred for adjudication is as follows :

"Whether the demand of Smt. Manorama Beldar in claiming the pay and allowances of typist (Clerk) is admissible to the H.P. Government regular employees of this post from the P.W.D. H.O. is justified and in order? If so, what relief and amount of compensation she is entitled to?"

"Whether the termination of services of Smt. Manorama Beldar by the Superintending Engineer (R&R) 1st Circle, H.P.W.D., Mandi, H.P. is justified and in order? If not, to what relief and amount of compensation, she is entitled?"

2. On appearance the petitioner submitted her statement of claims on the basis of above-said allegations.

3. The respondents resisted and contested that petitioner had served the Department as Beldar on Muster roll basis on 1-12-1981 to 30-6-1982, 25-9-1982 to 30-11-1982 and 1-1-83 to 10-2-88 and discharged duties in the "Material Testing Laboratory" existing in the office of Respondent No. 2. According to them, she never discharged functions and performed duties of clerical nature as alleged. Further, they contended that the petitioner could be regularised as work-charged Beldar on the seniority basis and on the basis of sanctioned posts. They further emphatically contended that the services of the petitioner had never been terminated by the respondent, but on the contrary, she had herself left the work of her own accord *i.e.* abandoned the service and as such provisions of Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (hereinafter shortly referred to as "The Act") are not attracted to the facts and circumstances of the instant case. Regarding her work as Clerk-typist, the respondents contended that in *excess* had done any such work, she did it *proprio* for her own benefit with a view to learn the work of typing for taking benefit to appear in the written test interview for higher post.

4. On the pleadings of the parties *vide* order dated 7.2.1989 by learned Predecessor framed the following issues :

1. Whether the Petitioner is entitled to claim pay and allowances as typist (Clerk)? (OPP)
2. Whether the termination of the services of petitioner is legal? (OPR)
3. Relief.

5. In support of the respective claim, the petitioner produced six witnesses including herself, out of which PWs 1 to 5 had served respondent No. 2 in different capacities at different occasions, pertaining to the tenure of service of the petitioner. In rebuttal, respondents produced the solitary witness, Shri Promil Kumar, Junior Engineer, who served Respondent No. 2 from May, 1983 to August, 1986.

6. PW-1 is Shri Amer Singh, who was posted as Superintendent in the office of Respondent No. 2 from June, 1983 to September, 1987. He has fully supported the petitioner. According to his sole testimony, the petitioner worked as typist in the Works Section and her work was satisfactory throughout and further stated that he was the overall incharge and the overall responsibility of the clerks working under him, rested on him at the material time. As

per him, his regular staff consisted of two Assistants and three clerks, as has been admitted by him in his cross-examination. He has further admitted in cross-examination that petitioner was attending the office prior to his joining duties in the office of Respondent No. 2 and he allowed the said practice to continue; that no attendance register was being maintained nor any such register was maintained to notice the progress of work of type being performed by the petitioner. He has categorically stated that she typed all the letters of his branch.

PW-2, Shri Hari Singh, was also working in the Works Section from March, 1980 to September, 1982 in the office of Respondent No. 2. According to his deposition, the petitioner served in the said section as typist as Daily Wages Worker and she used to do all English typing work whenever was assigned to her by him. It is material to notice, despite giving opportunity so afforded, the respondents had not cross-examined him.

PW-3, Shri Suresh Singh, a Junior Engineer in the office of the Respondent No. 2 has also made similar statement as PW-1.

PW-4, Shri Shyam Singh, a Peon in the office of Respondent No. 2 has also affirmed that he saw the petitioner serving as steno typist in his office. This witness has also not been cross-examined by the respondent.

PW-5, is serving as Senior Clerk in the said office since September, 1982. He has also supported the claim of the petitioner. His statement also goes un rebutted as it has not been rebutted.

PW-6, Smt. Manorama, is the petitioner herself. She has reiterated the entire allegations and proved on record letter Ex. P-1 issued by the Respondent No. 2 and her experience certificate Ex. P-1/A and Ex. P-2 besides statement of work *i.e.* letters typed by the petitioner during the tenure of her service as per the list containing the file numbers, despatch number and date, number of pages typed by her as detailed in Ex. P-3. Apart from it, she has proved Ex. P-4, CWP so filed in the Hon'ble High Court of Himachal Pradesh.)

7. In rebuttal, Shri Promil Kumar, Junior Engineer has stated on oath that she served office of Respondent No. 2 from May, 1982 to August, 1986. According to him, the petitioner served as Beldar in the "Quality Control Laboratory" located in the office of Respondent No. 2 and he stated that Muster-roll used to be issued from Executive Engineer, Mandi Division No.1 to him during the tenure pertaining to the petitioner and he used to mark her presence. She used to work in the Laboratory aforesaid under the control of the Sub-Divisional Officer concerned and that he never got any clerical duties from the petitioner. The muster-roll used to be verified by the Sub-Divisional Officer and wages used to be paid by his office. In his cross-examination, he admitted that he worked as Junior Engineer in the field, not in the office and that he had control over the Beldars, who used to work in the fields only. According to him, he did not receive any directions from the superior officers with respect to sending and submissions of the muster rolls without marking the presence before the higher authorities. He has in his cross-examination stated that he used to make entries in the Measurement Book on the basis of the data from the petitioner duly verified by the Sub-Divisional Officer (Design). He further admitted that he never worked in the said Laboratory.

8. I have heard the Learned Authorised Representatives appearing on behalf of rival parties at length and I have carefully gone through the record for the reasons hereinafter recorded, issue-wise. In my view, the petitioner succeeds in proving her claim.

Issue No. 1 :

Onus of this issue lay heavily on the petitioner to prove that she discharged functions and performed

duties of clerical nature and consequently, she is entitled to claim pay as typist. Notice suffices to state that all the witnesses produced by the petitioner hail from the office of the Respondent No. 2, where they had worked from time to time in different capacities at the material time. Whereas RW-1, solitary witness, produced on behalf of the respondents has categorically admitted in cross-examination that he never worked in the office of respondent No. 2. PWs 1 to 5 are all reasonable persons. They, in fact, had no interest in the petitioner nor were inimical to either the respondent as no lot of evidence has been established on record. Apart from H, the documentary evidence in the form of experience certificates, Ex. P-2 issued by the Executive Engineer (Design) H.P.P.W.D., (H&R), Mandi pertains to the period from October, 1981 to May, 1984 and the Experience Certificate Ex. P-1/A issued by the same authority pertains to the period i.e.f. June, 1984 to December, 1985. Both these certificates reflect that she had been working as typist in the Department on Muster-roll basis. The other document, i.e. list of letters typed by the petitioner detailed serial-wise in Ex. P-3 pertain to the period from 1983 to 1988. It shows not only the receipt of stationery consequent to the submission of indent by the petitioner but also the voluminous of typist work having been done by her during the tenure of her service. There is no lot of evidence on record to controvert the factum of issuance of two experience certificates of petitioner referred to above. The petitioner having served the respondent's department for a period of more than 5 years is exhibited by their own admission in para one of their reply to her statement of claim. Thus, even if it is assumed that the petitioner was the daily rated Beldar, she according to the rules of the respondent's department was entitled to be regularised as work-charged Beldar on the seniority basis and on the basis of sanctioned posts. It is not the case of the respondent that there were no sanctioned posts of work-charged Beldars or that the termination was consequent to the surplusage of the daily rated labourers. Rather, the case put forth by the respondents before this Court is that the services of the petitioner had never been terminated by the respondents, but she herself abandoned it by her having left the work at her own accord. In this respect, it is sufficient to notice that in view of the position which emerges from the record is that the petitioner used to work in the office of Respondent No. 2 in Works Section as a Clerk-typist and she never performed duties of manual nature in the field as Beldar. It has also come on record that Muster-roll pertaining to the petitioner's attendance used to be filled in by the Junior Engineer Shri. Promil Kumar, RW 1 on the basis of verification by the Sub Divisional Officer concerned, who was posted in the office of respondent No. 2. This fact said that the ignorance shown, by the RW-1 regarding the fact as to whether the petitioner used to discharge duties of clerical nature in the office of the respondents, goes to support the claim of the plaintiff.

10. From the overall assessment of the entire evidence, oral as also documentary, it is not capable of being disputed although the petitioner was being deputed as daily wage Beldar that she has performed the functions and performed the duties of clerical nature during the entire period of her service tenure. In the facts and circumstances, although a contrary work has been set out by the respondents in their pleadings.

11. Now coming to the question of the applicability of the Doctrine of "equal pay for equal work" our own Hon'ble High Court in various cases have categorically held that the Government cannot take advantage of its dominant position and compellany worker of one category to discharge the functions and duties of other category on starvation wages. It may be that the former has agreed to work on such low wages. That he/she has done because he/she has no other choice. It is poverty that has driven him/her to that state. The Government should be a model employer. In such cases, if the former category has been subjected to hostile discrimination in respect of wages etc. State cannot deny at least minimum pay in the pay scale of

regular employed workman even though the Government may not be empowered to extend the period. In other words, in the instant case, the above said Doctrine "equal pay for equal work" is attracted in view of the peculiar facts and circumstances thereof. I am fortified in taking this view by the observations made in the case referred to below :

- (i) Ramesh Chand vs. State of H.P. and another (CWP No. 587 of 1987), decided on April 12, 1988.
- (ii) Jalani Singh vs. State of H.P. and others (CWP No. 569 of 1987), decided on April 15, 1988.
- (iii) Ravinder Singh vs. The State of H.P. and another (CWP No. 139 of 1988), decided on July 11, 1988.

Thus, in view of the discussions made above, the petitioner is entitled to the relief *qua* her wages/pay and salaries.

12. The abovesaid discussion also entitles the petitioner for the regularisation of her services as daily rated labourer as Beldar by applying the principle of "last come first go" in accordance with the seniority list prepared and maintained by the respondents as per the observations made in the case of Hari Ram vs. The State of H.P. and three others (CWP No. 299 of 1985), decided on 2-1-1986 (198). At this stage, I cannot help observing that petitioner from the very inception of her employment in the office of respondents was apprised of the fact that she had been recruited as daily rated labourer as 'Beldar'. She further knew that such employment was not to the category of Class III posts, but it was that of the Casual Labour initially. Further as per her own showing in the pleadings as also her evidence as PW-6. She knew that cadre strength of clerical job in the office of the respondents at different stages was full and there was no clerical post vacant. No doubt, the respondent had been extracting the duties of clerical nature from her with a view to lessen the burden of work load of the regular employees as deputed by PW-1 in the last line of his cross examination, she could not be recruited as regular Class III employee to her knowledge. Both parties were at fault. In fact, as per the evidence emerging from the record, the facts in the muster-roll and measurement books maintained by RW-1, it appears to have been twisted contrary to the factual position existing at the material time. Despite the full fledged cadre strength with the respondent on different wages working at Mandi an additional hand had been employed. There is no evidence as to what was the work load with the clerical staff entrusted with the typing work nor there is any lot of evidence to show that such clerical staff had shown due progress of work as required under the rules. In fact, by employing the additional hand seeking and performing of duties of clerical nature, the public exchequer to which all of us contribute to it by way of taxes, appears to have been unnecessarily burdened. The principle of account ability has totally been ignored by the respondents in the instant case as well due to which they are now under legal obligation to pay to the petitioner difference of wages i.e. the sum equal to the wages of daily rated 'Beldar' and daily rated Clerks for a period of about 64 years under discussion of this issue.

In view of the abovesaid discussions, I hold in proving issue No. 1 by cogent and convincing evidence. Thus, the said issue, I decided in her favour and against the respondents.

#### Issue No. 2 :

13. This issue pertains to the fact that termination of services of the petitioner was legal. In fact, this issue has not properly been worked in view of the pleadings of the respondents to the effect that they did not terminate her services, but the petitioner herself abandoned the same. In any case, this issue covers the case of the respondents so pleaded. However, the factum

of abandonment of services by the petitioner though have been pleaded by the respondent, but there is no iota of evidence on record to support this fact. Shri Prasad Kumar, RW 1, the then Junior Engineer does not state in his sole testimony that petitioner herself abandoned this job. On the contrary, the petitioner has proved letter dated 9-2-1988 issued by Respondent No. 2 whereby directions to the subordinate officers to confirm the fact that persons on muster roll basis to work in the office concerned have not been employed, had been sent. The said directions appear to have been sent on the information received by Respondent No. 2 that various officers were yet employing daily rated labourers on muster roll basis and seeking work from them in their respective offices. Admittedly, the services of the petitioner were orally terminated on 10-2-1988 has been deposed by her as PW 6. In the facts and circumstances referred to above, the termination as aforesaid, appears to be consequent to the instructions so issued by respondent No. 2. It is not the case of the respondents that such termination was consequent to the surplusage of labourers nor the result of any misconduct on the part of the petitioner, nor it has been shown by him that she has been discharged from the work entrusted as Beldar on the basis of any seniority list of the daily rated labourer so maintained in the Division against the sanctioned posts. The principle of "last come first go" does not appear to have been applied in the instant case. Thus, the petitioner is entitled to succeed in seeking the relief of her re-instatement in service as Beldar. Alternatively, if the petitioner falls within the ambit of the principle of "last come first go", even then the respondents are legally bound to comply with the pre-requisite of Section 25F and 25N of the Act. The overall outcome of the entire discussions made above is that the respondents have miserably failed to prove the termination of the petitioner as legal and valid. Rather on the contrary, it appears to be the consequence of instructions as per letter Lx P 1 having been issued by the respondent No. 2 and then the subject matter in order to avoid their personal responsibility appears to have dispensed with the services of the petitioner. In other words, the termination of the services of the petitioner appears to be with ulterior motive and consequently arbitrary and also illegal. Thus, issue No. 2 is decided against the respondents and in favour of the petitioner.

14. In view of the discussions made above, an award is passed in favour of the petitioner and against the respondent to the following effect :

- (i) that the petitioner is entitled to be reinstated in service as work-charged Beldar after regularisation of her services in accordance with the seniority list of regular daily rated labourers so maintained in the division in accordance with the principle laid down by the Division Bench of our own Hon'ble High Court above-said with the directions that her services as work-charged Beldar shall be deemed to be in continuity of her previous service and consequent thereupon she would be entitled to all the consequential benefits of service as are given to regular work-charged Beldars to the payment in the respondents' department;
- (ii) that the petitioner is also held entitled to the differential wages i.e. to say the sum equal to the difference between the wages of daily rated Beldar and daily rated Clerk for the period commencing from the date of her employment i.e. October, 1981 till 10-2-1988 within one month from the date of publication of Award in Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajprata.

15. In addition to the above relief, the petitioner shall also be entitled to a lump-sum costs assessed at Rs. 500.- particularly in view of the fact that respondent had, in fact, attempted to conceal the facts from this Court Memo of costs be prepared. The parties be informed of this Award by Registered post on the addresses given in their respective pleadings. The copy of this award be sent to the Government of Himachal

Pradesh for its due publication in the Official Gazette i.e. Himachal Pradesh Rajprata with the direction to this office that on receipt of the other copy, the same be tagged with the file of the instant case. After due completion, the file be consigned to the record room.

Announced today the 26th April, 1990

Seal.

(D P SINGH),  
Presiding Officer,  
Labour Court,  
Himachal Pradesh, Shimla  
26-4-90.

लोक निर्माण विभाग

भाषासूचना

शिमला-2, 23 जून 1990

सम्मा लो 0 नो (ब) 7 (1) 27/90- न्याय हिमाचल प्रदेश को राज्यपाल को भक्त प्रतीत हो ग है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सरकार को भवन व्यय पर शोचनीयक प्रयोजन हेतु नामतः भाग बर्षाल, तहसील कच्छाष्ट जिला सोलन में भगलोग-बुर्गहर सड़क के निर्माण हेतु भूमि अखिल करनी घोषित है, भनतः प्रविष्टा मत्त भाषासूचित किया जाता है कि उक्त परिधान में जहाँ कि निम्न विवरणों में निवेष्ट किया गया है उपरोक्त प्रयोजन के लिए भूमि का अर्जन घोषित है ।

2. यह भाषासूचना ऐसे सभी व्यक्तियों को, जो इस भाषासूचित हो सकते हैं की जानकारी के लिए भूमि अर्जन भाषासूचित, 1894 की धारा 3 के उपबन्धों के अन्तर्गत जारी की जाती है ।

3. पूर्वोक्त धारा द्वारा प्रवृत्त व्यक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए, राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश इस समय इस आक्रम में कार्यरत सभी भाषाकारियों, लक कर्मचारियों और अधिकारियों को भूमि का निर्माण भी भूमि में प्रवेश करने तथा सर्वेक्षण करने और उत धारा द्वारा घोषित या अनुमत अन्य सभी कार्यों का करने के लिए सहज अधिकार देते हैं ।

4. कोई भी हितचक्र व्यक्त, जिसे उक्त परिधान में कांयत भूमि के अर्जन पर कोई आपत्ति हो तो वह इस भाषासूचना के प्रकाशित होने के 30 (तीस) दिन को अधि के अर्धर लिखित रूप में भु अर्जन सहायता (2), लोक निर्माण विभाग शिमला-3 को भगल अपनी आपत्ति दायर कर सकता है ।

विवरण

जिला : सोलन

तहसील : कच्छाष्ट

गांव	अग्रता नं०	क्षेत्र	
		बो 0	बो 0
बर्षाल	247	0	12
किता . . .	1	0	12

आदेश द्वारा,  
हरन शर्मा/-  
आयुक्त एवं निवेष्ट ।

मुभा सेक ए एन बल विभाग

भाषासूचना

शिमला-171 002, 18 जून 1990

सम्मा 1-41/87-वार्ड 0 एत 0 एत 0-लगापना-41 28. इस विभाग की भाषासूचना सप्तसंख्या विभाग 3-2-19 90 को जारी रखत हुये राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश, श्री कजर सिंह सहायक कोतवर्ष रूप में अधिका सेक-1, (जेपी 11 राजप्रति) पदोन्नति के कार्यपाल स वही तरी 1-4-1990 से 6 मास के लिए या जब तक यह पद नियमित रूप से नहीं भरा जाता है जो भी पहल हो की स्वीकृत सहज प्रमाण करत है ।

इस तर्ष निवेष्टि से श्री कजर सिंह को मधीक के पद के लिये नियमित रूप से भरणे के लिये किसी प्रकार की बरिद्धता या पदोन्नति का हक नहीं होगा ।

आदेशानुसार,  
हरन शर्मा/-  
नियामुक्त एवं साक्ष ।

भाग 2 अध्यात्मिक नियमों की छोड़ कर विवाह विभागों के व्यवस्था और जिला मैजिस्ट्रेट द्वारा अधिवृत्तान्त द्वारा

OFFICE OF THE COMPETENT AUTHORITY UNDER  
NOTARIES' RULES, 1956 CUM-DISTRICT MAGIS-  
TRATE, UNA, DISTRICT UNA, HIMACHAL  
PRADESH

CORRIGENDUM

Uma, the 21st July, 1990

No. 2734/Litigation -The name of 'Naresh Kumar Sharma' appearing at Sl. No 7 in para 2 of the notice dated 16-1990 published in H. P. Rajpatra on 23-6-1990 at page 6-14 in vol. 2 be read as 'Narender Kumar Sharma'.

Sd/-  
District Magistrate,  
Uma, District Una.

उद्योग विभाग

(सामयिकीय भाग)

निम्नलिखित सूचना

"सर्वसाधारण की सूचना किया जाता है कि जिला उमा की अधु-  
नीतन खातों की नीलागी महाप्रबन्धक, जिला उद्योग केंद्र, उमा  
के कार्यालय में दिनांक 17-8-1990 को तथा विकास खण्ड  
अधिकारी, बंगाला के कार्यालय में 21-8-1990 को प्रायः 11.00  
बजे की जायेगी। इसका आवेदन खातों के पूर्ण विवरण/जतें तथा  
खातों सम्बन्धी भरा जालकारी के लिए महाप्रबन्धक, जिला उद्योग  
केंद्र, उमा में सत्यापन स्वीकृति करें।

हरनाथारित/-  
निदेशक,  
हिमाचल प्रदेश।

उमा जिला की लघु खातन खातों का नीलागी प्रस्ताव

स्वीकृत उमा (1)

खाने का भाग: रेल, बजरी व पत्थर

अवधि : एक वर्ष

क्र.सं.	खात का नाम	खसरा सं.	अंश	मीजा
1	2	3	4	5
1.	सुपूर खात	10	0 24 18	सुपूर कलां प्रबन्धक
		17	0 03 00	
		84	0 01 04	
		81	0 04 16	
		86	0 01 12	
		80	0 03 70	
		88	0 20 37	
		95	0 12 09	
		96	0 01 88	
		98	0 13 86	
		280	0 14 76	
		282	0 09 03	
		281/1	0 04 12	
		284	0 08 80	
		288	0 06 25	
		309	0 04 82	
		333	0 04 56	
		70	0 14 25	

1010	1	12	61	सुपूर कलां वीथिक
1099	2	01	88	
1110	0	16	74	
1107	0	38	13	
1108	0	00	91	
1109	0	14	30	
1162	0	00	18	
1463	0	01	92	
1166	0	03	42	
1466	0	03	06	
1467	0	05	46	
1468	0	05	85	
1470	0	01	08	
1471	0	07	21	
1471	0	01	21	
1476	0	01	08	
1477	0	32	80	
1478	0	01	98	
1479	0	01	40	
1482	0	02	54	
1483	0	01	95	
1486	0	00	13	
1488	0	06	25	
1500	0	07	38	
1501	0	26	09	
1502	0	01	32	
1503	0	04	77	
1504	0	03	73	
1505	0	10	86	
1506	0	11	90	
1507	0	03	29	
1509	0	30	34	बरनोह
837	0	05	14	
838	0	02	00	
839	1	04		
840	0	02	18	
841	0	02	10	
847	0	42	16	
851	0	10	08	
850	0	01	12	
852	0	01	04	
853	0	02	04	
854	0	04	11	
10	0	11	15	
11	0	04	14	
21	0	08	17	
173	0	47	15	
23	0	30	12	
358	0	133	18	
359	6	08	00	
360	0	04	17	
361	0	04	16	
362	0	04	11	
363	0	04	02	
364	0	06	17	
833	0	43	10	
834	0	24	05	
835	0	08	17	
836	0	8	01	इगोली
1	6	05	98	
461/1	5	93	85	
462	0	17	00	
463	0	20	14	
466	0	38	54	
467	0	30	00	
468	0	33	00	
470/1	0	19	20	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4
	16	0 02	13	कोटला कला		3731	0 02	02
	19	0 01	18			3732	0 04	29
	20	0 09	03			3733	0 03	31
	21	0 01	13			4017	0 15	84
	22	0 03	02			4018	0 21	54
	23	0 01	09			4019	0 10	10
	24	0 07	00			4020	0 20	26
	25	0 20	06			4021	0 17	66
	26	0 05	06			4022	10 06	42
	27	0 06	00			4023	0 02	55
	28	0 01	05			4026	0 00	62
	29	0 20	01			4027	0 00	20
	30	0 13	14			4114	0 14	15
	31	0 06	19			4146	0 00	65
	35	0 01	01			4147	1 02	33
	36	0 01	01			4148	2 15	18
	38	0 03	11			4148/1	0 05	88
	37	0 01	08			4179	3 92	66
	39	0 11	04			4181	0 08	05
	40	0 13	04			4183	1 61	21
	42	0 15	03			4184	1 85	32
	43	0 35	12			4184/1	0 19	42
	44	0 01	13			4185	0 06	24
	45	0 05	17			4186	0 07	74
	46	0 07	14			4187	0 37	11
	47	0 04	11			4188	0 17	73
	48	0 01	15			4189	0 29	28
	49	0 08	09			4190	0 26	26
	50	0 02	05			4212	0 06	11
	51	0 15	02			4216	0 04	93
	57	0 02	07			4217	0 03	64
	58	0 02	06			4220	0 03	50
	59	0 02	09			4221	0 02	97
	60	0 02	06			4228	0 02	28
	61	0 03	18			3355	1 77	70
	62	0 02	01			3379	0 00	33
	3316	0 38	49	कोटला खर्द				
	3316/1	0 28	42		2. टन्ना खान	855	0 14	39
	3317	0 44	85			856	0 49	91
	3318	0 42	70			866	1 24	68
	3351	0 10	08			867	0 29	09
	3352	0 04	68			914	0 39	93
	3354	0 22	10			921	0 24	44
	3358	0 07	22			923	0 09	21
	3360	0 23	22			926	0 35	96
	3361	0 05	10			1788	0 26	22
	3347	0 04	40			1792	0 06	05
	3371	1 28	14			1791	0 13	50
	3374	0 04	24			1793	0 15	69
	3373	1 10	23			1795	0 16	90
	3353	0 13	50			1796	0 09	18
	3385	0 11	75			1798	0 40	39
	3608	0 00	75			1800	0 15	67
	3610	0 00	54			1861	0 12	48
	3619	0 00	40			1862	0 08	28
	3703	0 04	80			2464	0 05	32
	3707	0 00	32			2465	0 41	17
	3708	0 00	94			2497	0 30	35
	3718	0 00	52			2498	0 03	42
	3719	0 01	28			2501	1 08	65
	3720	0 01	56			2502	0 07	75
	3721	0 03	16			2503	0 13	92
	3722	0 02	84			2404	0 32	01
	3723	0 01	43			2505	0 03	92
	3724	0 00	22			2547	0 06	58
	3725	0 03	32			2517	0 08	12
	3730	0 07	59			2518	0 05	28

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
	2526	0 93 46					1906	0 15 67	
	2534	0 14 96					2282	0 40 95	
	2535	0 07 21					2283	0 78 70	
	2540	0 38 64					2326	0 11 23	
	2541	0 14 02					2327	1 54 18	
	2543	0 08 34					2328	0 19 38	
	2544	0 12 94					2329	0 66 19	
	2548	0 62 15					498	1 00 88	वाडतरा क्षेत्र
	2558	0 06 06					501	0 33 54	
	2549	0 24 43					502	0 00 30	
	2559	0 09 63					503	1 03 97	
	2605	0 17 15					556	0 14 72	
	2606	0 69 48					1096	0 04 68	
	2607	0 53 78					1099	0 07 45	
	281	0 21 15	टब्बा जलप्रपात				1101	0 78 08	
	282	0 16 03					1118	1 47 38	
	283	0 24 19					1100	0 13 91	
	284	1 60 03					1102	0 61 65	
	285	0 39 02					1103	0 29 88	
	291	0 23 02					1104	0 07 04	
	333	0 01 17					1116	0 02 54	
	334	0 17 09					1118/1	0 04 32	
	335	0 31 16					1124	0 01 54	
	336	0 09 03					1125	0 08 00	
	337	0 07 07					1126	0 99 72	
	338	0 03 15					1	0 20 42	भञ्जोलिया
	339	0 08 11					2	0 21 41	जोगाडा ।
	340	0 02 01					3	0 36 61	
	345	0 04 19					4	0 23 19	
	390	0 27 06					5	0 14 89	
	425	0 08 12					6	0 12 45	
	426	0 05 18					76	0 90 72	
	427	0 46 06					77	0 05 92	
	428	0 05 15					78	0 13 87	
	432	0 27 01					141	0 06 65	
	1	0 73 13	बहडावा				142	0 02 31	
	2	0 25 19					143	0 02 76	
	3	1 07 17					286	0 49 71	
	4	0 05 72					287	0 48 62	
	5	0 33 12					288	0 24 85	
	6	0 04 20			3. फतेमाल खान		1362	11 2	बनगढ़ क्षेत्र
	7	0 04 29					1379	3 10	
	7/1	0 15 30					1606	28 08	
	1838	0 63 45					1605	25 07	
	1839	0 58 85					1613	3 06	
	1840	0 56 36					1614	0 06	
	1841	0 40 97					1617	9 06	
	1863	0 51 38					1619	16 17	
	1864	1 19 41					27	0 63 24	फतेमाल
	1865	0 24 55					30	0 14 75	
	1866	0 63 32					103	0 62 62	
	1872	0 82 79					104	0 04 16	
	1873	0 32 17					109	0 01 40	
	1880	0 58 50					120	0 37 60	
	1881	0 27 89					164	0 00 65	
	1891	0 49 41					165	0 01 05	
	1892	0 75 00					189	0 00 15	
	1893	0 11 34					190	0 09 66	
	1894	0 08 98					191	0 05 15	
	1895	0 40 50					197	0 01 89	
	1897	0 19 96					398	0 35 67	
	1898	0 18 48					400	1 64 64	
	1901	0 48 90					410	0 00 96	
	1902	0 37 34					411	0 08 46	
	1904	0 14 80					414	0 00 48	
	1903	0 27 38					422	1 12 43	
	1905	0 48 93					433	1 45 25	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		616	0 02 16				1767	0 10 87	
		617	0 12 52				1769	0 03 17	
		618	0 12 72				1747	0 46 24	
		619	0 03 42				1748	0 55 73	
		626	0 00 78				1749	0 85 47	
		666	0 91 78				1750	0 62 44	
		767	3 33 50				1751	0 11 01	
		826	0 47 12				1753	0 77 87	
		1043	1 11 55				1756	0 73 : 7	
		1095	0 06 68				1754	0 78 96	
		1114	0 73 73				1755	0 21 25	
		1115	1 31 57				1757	0 22 62	
							1758	0 53 43	
4. बहमाला खान	1963	0 54 63	वड़साला क्षेत्र						
	1964	0 22 52					160	0 17 58	नंगल द्वितीय
	1965	0 55 04					161	0 30 74	
	1966	0 16 40					179	0 01 71	
	1969	0 09 15					181	0 13 54	
	2028	0 14 16					180	0 13 28	
	2068	1 25 25					1	0 17 70	
	1984	1 05 35					2	0 15 62	
	1967	0 10 71					5	0 29 67	
	1970	0 20 88					3	0 30 74	
	2051	0 85 24					146	0 17 34	
	1971	0 24 96					4	0 30 74	
	2044	0 10 80					7	0 30 74	
	2046	0 79 62					8	0 20 42	
	2047	0 25 53					9	0 11 02	
	1980	0 36 58					10	0 04 84	
	1981	0 52 36					11	0 10 05	
	1982	0 57 24					142	0 10 95	
	1983	0 29 01					143	0 30 74	
	1985	0 43 60					144	0 26 56	
	2000	0 20 38					162	0 30 74	
	2001	0 42 78					163	0 09 61	
	2002	1 00 99					149	0 05 46	
	2003	0 61 96					150	0 21 39	
	2018	0 48 13					151	0 25 27	
	2019	0 50 11							
	2020	0 12 68					1	1 12 11	नंगल सलागड़ी
	2021	0 61 74					2	0 25 26	
	2022	0 12 35					3	0 25 60	
	2023	0 18 70					15	0 38 44	
	2024	0 28 42					16	0 27 68	
	2025	0 89 76					30	0 25 62	
	2026	0 06 30					31	0 14 12	
	2027	0 24 96					4	0 29 95	
	2028	0 14 16					6	0 22 56	
	2038	1 02 28					17	0 20 73	
	2042	0 28 14							
	2039	0 17 16					10	0 36 93	बसाल
	2043	0 40 66					11	0 79 16	
	2040	0 27 00					18	0 35 36	
	2048	0 16 00					22	0 55 70	
	2049	1 19 95					27	0 10 14	
	2045	0 28 51					24	0 10 03	
	2050	0 60 68					28	0 41 66	
	2051	0 85 24					29	0 29 46	
	2052	0 76 84					32	0 07 87	
	2053	0 41 90					2	0 13 08	
	2055/1	0 46 49					3	0 28 04	
	2054	1 14 96					7	0 33 20	
	2056	0 92 13					8	0 15 60	
	1768	0 11 36					9	0 15 36	
	2057	0 39 35					10	0 34 44	
	2061	0 18 74					11	0 34 44	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		12	0 13 10				3055	24 02	
		13	0 39 10				3056	38 00	
		14	0 28 98				3057	04 06	
		15	0 18 20				3058	01 13	
		16	0 11 21				3059	17 12	
		17	0 26 32				3064	16 12	
		23	0 78 84				3065	13 12	
		24	0 68 90				3054	09 18	
		25	0 34 00						
		26	0 50 20						
		27	0 50 44						
		28	0 69 16		6. बीटल खान		2906	6 07 बीटल क्षेत्र	
		29	0 50 40				2907	0 17	
		30	0 17 11				2908	70 00	
		31	0 17 11						
		32	0 34 18		7. गान्दपुर खान		3447	46 01 गान्दपुर जयचन्द	
		33	0 08 85				3448	60 05	
		34	0 08 85				3449	00 18	
		35	0 32 45				3450	00 10	
		36	0 31 60				3451	10 13	
		37	0 32 96				3452	10 11	
		38	0 32 48				3453	10 09	
		39	0 32 48				3454	10 12	
		40	0 66 81				3456	15 08	
		41	0 33 81				3457	15 06	
		42	0 67 24				3458	07 19	
		43	1 36 89				3459	10 19	
		44	1 39 65				3574	06 01	
		45	0 33 89				3575	03 18	
		46	0 34 28				3576	05 04	
		47	0 65 54				3577	25 17	
		48	0 33 21				3578	22 12	
		49	0 34 86				3879	47 12	
		50	0 34 83				3580	14 09	
		51	0 35 10				3581	10 07	
		52	0 04 83				3582	06 08	
		55	0 26 94				3583	65 13	
		55/1	0 07 54				3584	15 18	
		61	0 36 92				3585	10 07	
		62	0 22 26				3586	19 06	
		63	0 08 55				3500	01 00	
		65	0 34 44						
		66	0 34 44						
		84	0 04 20				2123	25 13 गान्दपुर बिल्ला	
		85	0 63 62				2130	3 08	
		90	0 68 72				2139/3584	2 09	
		91	0 22 01				3585/2139	1 17	
		105	0 12 82				2172	5 17	
		108	0 60 20				2175	63 18	
							2176	2 19	
5. सीगा खान							2343	7 19	
							2344	14 15	
		1359	208 03 सीगा क्षेत्र				2345	6 18	
		2785	6 04				3731/3374	2 18	
		2786	62 02				3732/3374	2 18	
		2817	11 04				3735/3375	4 00	
		2818	22 10				3736/3375	6 06	
		2819	11 16				3737/3375	6 06	
		2820	6 09				2347	0 18	
		2821	3 03				2348	6 13	
		2822	4 01				2349	12 16	
		2823	6 01				2350	9 17	
		2824	6 01				2351	13 14	
		2825	0 03				2397	32 01	
		2826	1 05				2398	7 10	
		2827	11 09				2399	10 05	
		2828	12 01				2405	11 19	
		3046	13 01				2406	10 00	





1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4
		533	0 02 41				444	0 06 30
		623	0 00 64				506	1 47 43
		626	0 02 70				507	0 99 26
		629	0 03 49				237	0 06 08
		640	0 09 79				510	0 15 52
		651	0 00 88				512	0 34 57
		654	0 09 93				514	5 12 04
		734	1 00 31				236	0 11 60
		738	0 12 78				361	0 01 65
		749	0 01 20				443	0 07 28
		820	0 00 48				465	0 35 07
		875	0 07 36				522	2 61 03
		889	0 11 40				526	3 33 22
		895	0 06 40				1019	5 46 33
		893	0 05 28				1070	0 10 15
		894	0 58 24				1079	0 03 27
		896	0 19 75				1082	0 38 78
		924	0 26 65				1087	0 83 73
		926	0 33 91				1100	1 37 37
		931	0 00 40				1101	0 02 26
		932	0 03 38				1105	0 83 52
		1071	0 78 27				1179	2 97 77
		1027	0 02 56				1183	0 13 30
		1063	0 78 08				1082/1	0 16 19
		1064	0 60 37				1015	5 85 80
		1077	0 03 64				1018	2 18 22
		1193	0 02 10				1020	0 79 92
		1194	0 02 64				1016/1	1 28 75
		1224	0 04 65				362	0 09 63
		1226	0 03 27				365	0 09 40
		1381	0 01 54				884	5 88 47
		1382	0 02 22				897	0 32 28
		1385	0 01 38				1065	0 03 96
		1457	0 07 21				1067	1 20 54
		1471	0 03 77				257	0 11 72
		1484	0 00 96				1080	0 37 00
		1485	0 03 27					
		1487	0 02 10					क० म०
		1490	0 00 20					
		1491	0 01 46					
		1495	0 07 71					
		1587	0 00 69					
		1613/1	0 01 44					
		1620	0 62 18					
		1625	0 25 04					
		1673	0 02 74					
		1676	0 02 21					
		1681	0 34 41					
		1689	0 01 35					
		1690	0 03 96					
		1692	0 22 82					
		1703	0 32 90					
		1733	0 02 95					
		1704	0 02 34					
		1811	0 07 83					
		1819	0 17 05					
		1855	0 03 71					
		1908	0 07 82					
		2000	0 01 53					
		2011	0 04 20					
		2055	0 05 10					
		2090	0 05 54					
		2095	0 19 53					
		498	0 24 63					
		505	2 85 92					
		517	2 16 33					
		442	0 07 44					

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
			₹0 म0					₹0 म0	
		4722/2783	4 14				2700	10 15	
		2781	1 07				3929	5 01	
		2716	5 04				4487/12	21 17	
		2734	8 06				441	2 19	
		2718	4 15				1059	29 00	
		2719	3 07				4698/2664	60 16	
		2727	3 05				4729	8 03	
		2728	3 00				3916	33 07	
		2729	3 04				3981	10 10	
		2730	4 15				2679	3 16	
		2908/1	6 16		3. कटेडा क्षेत्र	2646	1 0	कटेडा क्षेत्र	
		2705	14 05			2640	1 12		
		1	22 06			2630	4 11		
		3	01 06			18	2 13		
		6	00 07			19	2 01		
		7	02 04			1614	6 01		
		8	03 02			2032	5 09		
		11	20 00			4642	2 08		
		2704	16 14			1448	0 19		
		1586	00 16			2267/2	13 02		
		1592	05 15			2274	2 07		
		3662	03 02			4243	0 06		
		1559	07 08			953	8 00		
		1089	01 10			2745	0 16		
		1093	08 18			2744	11 06		
		1094	00 11			2823	3 01		
		1095	00 18			2678	1 11		
		1096	06 06			2661	1 02		
		3694	20 07			2610	0 13		
		3862	15 06			2784	0 10		
		3865	06 08			110	0 09		
		4174	02 18			1653	0 19		
		3856	03 00			1678	3 14		
		2632	13 07			1707	7 04		
		10	31 17			2669	1 04		
		4488/13	5 10			1590	2 14		
		4490/13	6 03			2479	1 13		
		2714	34 14			2656	0 14		
		3466	2 14			1597/1	3 01		
		3452	0 08			1588	2 08		
		4115	2 09			1594	2 02		
		4116	3 07			1686	1 04		
		4117	6 08			1710	1 18		
		4118	12 14			1905	8 03		
		2702	10 15			2864	5 16		
		9	25 01			2867	5 10		
		4707/2681	18 13			1904	0 18		
		2689	49 08			1716	6 02		
		4709/2690	12 10			1589	8 11		
		3914	38 10			786	4 01		
		3915	13 07			2733	1 04		
		4708/2681	12 02			1259/1	4 07		
		2671	11 14			1365	7 00		
		3593	4 13			1413	1 00		
		4180	2 00			1251	1 09		
		3145	2 01			2641	3 11		
		3826	21 12			2562	1 05		
		4732/3008	6 10			1175	0 16		
		4728/2965	8 08			810	4 12		
		4699/2664	3 10			821	21 01		
		4700/2884	6 15			787	0 18		
		4120	10 01			2706	3 10		
		4864/3018	29 10			1725	3 05		
		4698/2664	19 9			1921	3 00		
		4619/1454	15 19			1919	3 08		
		4732/20	8 04						

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
			₹0 80					₹0 80	
2538			5 00			2003		2 19	
1701			1 02			2685/1		4 04	
1702			0 13			2563		10 10	
153			0 04			774		4 12	
116			11 07			768		32 18	
131			1 06			771		1 16	
1596			3 15			769		74 04	
1405			3 12			1470		11 00	
1898			7 06			1900		81 03	
1595			2 00			1874		16 10	
783			1 10			1885/1		1 12	
818			0 14			1861		12 01	
1428			4 09			1848		0 16	
1924			5 03			1860		36 16	
1668			1 08			1717		11 17	
1655			1 15			1252		5 01	
1008			12 19			2665		2 18	
2480			0 05			2670		0 17	
1673			1 19			2680		2 16	
1662			3 05			2659		2 07	
1687			2 00			2657		5 11	
1677			1 10			2646		7 00	
852			35 12			1364		80 00	
1617			4 11			2658		1 06	
1616			0 14			2872		0 16	
161			9 01						
162			5 18						
2850			1 12						
2631			1 05						
1902			10 10						
767			5 10						
1871			6 01						
1872			8 17						
1876			10 12						
1878			5 10						
1875			9 13						
1873			10 04						
1817			5 12						
1869			8 11						
1868			7 04						
1866			6 18						
1867			7 00						
1865			6 04						
1864			7 10						
1863			5 04						
1862			5 18						
1870			4 13						
238			0 15						
261			0 09						
1656			0 13						
1754			0 05						
225			0 11						
2707			6 14						
3			32 01						
12			27 06						
1351			23 16						
163			70 01						
167			12 17						
766			60 11						
1360			4 19						
770			0 19						
1441			14 10						
1362			106 07						
1443/1			22 05						
1363			5 05						
1439			114 18						

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		1064	0 00	64			103	0 00	78 भुजाद नगर
		1065	0 02	28			104	0 28	04
		801	0 14	08			122	0 01	02
		808	0 09	52			102	0 12	46
		810	0 02	91			2303	0 23	49
		910	0 05	71			2304	0 02	35
		212	0 06	94			2783	0 19	37
		214	0 04	34			2944	0 02	15
		3	0 00	08			448	0 16	50
		5	0 27	32			451	0 23	02
		161	0 05	20			452	0 02	94
		1131	0 05	31			288	4 25	29
		1134/1	0 04	26			348	6 96	91
		1610	0 07	53			351	0 14	42
		1609	0 02	87			589	5 49	95
		809	0 00	99			590	6 42	49 गोकल नगर
		817	0 12	08			591	32 66	15
		163	0 25	43			591/1	0 15	80
		485	0 64	36			595	0 01	78
		142	0 12	38			625	6 25	95
		185	0 03	25			780	3 43	42
		155	0 05	01			1032	6 38	26
		162	0 38	69			1034	0 05	02
		177	0 10	45			1035	0 03	96
		477	0 06	81			1036	0 07	28
		2051	0 26	82			1069	0 07	31
		2058	0 00	32			1071	0 01	78
		161	0 14	28			1138	1 59	61
		172	1 00	22					
		174	0 13	20			1238	0 61	35 गकर नगर
		487	0 66	94			1	0 01	60
		2060	0 01	58			158	0 00	38
		2065	0 33	14			216	0 28	56
		104	1 05	82			805	0 00	35
		105	0 81	95			820	0 20	40
		109	0 23	40			1363	0 05	35
		131	0 26	43			1556	6 42	30
		91	0 21	26			1613	1 02	30
		126	0 31	74					
		136	0 17	94			156	0 22	15 मेरा नगर
		145	0 14	67			168	0 24	08
		154	0 17	42			170	1 02	36
		182	0 01	41					
		183	0 01	56			1111	0 01	24 भुजाल नगर
		186	0 09	63			495	0 18	16
							1195	0 16	13
							1197	0 02	92
		466	0 44	64 भैरा नगर			2302	1 51	38
		127	0 19	39			2951	0 11	86
		187	0 12	32					
		465	0 14	40	5. मुबारिकपुर खान		144	0 41	00 रामपुर क्षेत्र
		153	0 04	78			1	0 99	21
							2	0 05	74
		189	0 20	24			3	0 07	28
		124	0 06	08			137	1 25	27
		117	0 47	12			138	0 08	65
		148	0 05	42			145	1 79	91
		151	0 02	66					
		181	0 11	20			1545	0 05	99 शिवपुरी
		134	0 23	78			1551	0 11	41 मुबारिकपुर
							1559	0 15	28
		141	0 12	94			1560	0 13	28
		1840	0 03	18			1561	0 10	80
		4780	0 34	17			1565	0 03	36
		475	0 13	25			1704/1	0 06	54
		476	0 31	52			1549	0 06	09
		488	0 13	46			1550	0 06	00

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		1704	0 45 93				1683	0 07 55	
		1521	0 05 61				494	0 02 02	
		1563	0 03 00				1685	0 56 39	
		1566	0 14 03				1701	1 59 34	
		1583	0 07 03				1697	0 27 20	
		1251	0 06 35				1698	0 03 12	
		1217	0 03 27				1696	0 01 95	
		1236	0 04 95				1699	0 14 30	
		1249	0 55 49				1694	0 04 63	
		1312	0 18 02				1694	0 10 80	
		1610	0 53 34				1687	0 40 42	
		1218	0 06 28				1669	0 14 22	
		1250	0 19 35				935	0 75 75	
		1255	0 21 48				1647	0 41 98	
		1311	0 04 38				1661	0 72 30	
		1611	0 09 96				1662	0 21 68	
		1626	0 06 10				1663	0 10 20	
		1649	0 17 16				1666	0 08 63	
		498	0 62 71	मुबारिकपुर			1667	0 02 32	
		499	1 12 30				1670	0 10 12	
		1651	0 67 37				1712	0 17 80	
		1652	0 14 32				1664	0 08 30	
		1578	0 11 44				1665	0 07 82	
		1591	0 41 30				1646	0 28 24	
		1605	0 28 83				1671	0 10 71	
		1607	0 58 93				1673	0 12 22	
		222	0 27 11				1678	1 18 03	
		1598	0 01 02				1681	0 47 67	
		1599	0 05 13				1706	0 03 10	
		1597	0 01 42				1609	0 16 28	
		1596	0 01 53				1674	0 15 39	
		1595	0 03 13				1680	0 12 18	
		1616	0 02 00				1588	0 07 92	
		1487	0 07 03				1590	0 08 32	
		1603	0 04 07				1585	0 11 30	
		1615	0 02 32				1686	0 06 93	
		1618	0 06 06				1679	0 05 52	
		1657	0 06 96				1567	0 06 54	
		1659	0 48 02				1568	0 05 49	
		1656	0 06 30				1621	0 08 41	
		1658	0 45 21				1654	0 15 27	
		1601	0 30 06				1550	0 45 18	
		1602	0 17 79				1653	0 13 57	
		1604	0 44 64				1655	0 17 11	
		1642	0 11 81				1635	0 05 71	
		1641	0 03 76				1645	0 11 41	
		1640	0 08 36				1628	0 10 68	
		1639	0 07 42				1648	0 26 33	
		1613	0 14 56				1650	0 26 19	
		1614	0 23 33				1612	0 26 02	
		1644	0 47 57				1620	0 08 63	
		1592	0 18 77				1643/1	0 09 20	
		1660	0 58 92				1486	0 23 95	
		1570	0 10 63				1587	0 07 56	
		1571	0 04 68				1589	0 07 56	
		1572	0 13 09				1488	0 03 82	
		1576	0 27 79				1637	0 83 48	
		1579	0 20 35				1672	0 03 30	
		1573	0 10 94				1675	0 10 73	
		1574	0 24 84				1676	0 37 85	
		1575	0 29 80				1594	0 11 60	
		495	0 03 54				1584	0 06 40	
		497	0 00 09				1564	0 02 42	
		1707	0 08 12				1577	0 11 47	
		1711	0 26 11				1580	0 25 72	
		1682	0 44 05				1581	0 07 59	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
1562	0	07	36				178	0	20 13
1607	0	19	04				179	0	90 83
1546	0	08	08				180	0	12 39
1606	0	11	22				185	0	04 70
1248	0	23	22				186	0	35 48
1313	0	18	63				223	0	02 53
1314	0	02	20				224	0	01 40
1582	0	06	24				236	3	72 68
1630	0	04	96				237	0	34 05
1631	0	16	85				238	1	22 60
1632	0	07	60				303	0	01 97
1698	0	13	52				509	0	66 27
1692	0	17	70				512	0	53 70
1633	0	42	33				513	0	08 10
1634	0	12	47				520	2	16 89
1629	0	06	24				876	0	67 63
1636	0	03	18				877	0	41 93
1638	0	57	80				881	0	05 74
1643	0	25	74				882	0	53 77
1622	0	12	81				887	0	00 09
1625	0	09	90				923	0	58 90
1627	0	23	07				925	0	47 92
1623	0	12	26				1154	0	01 38
1624	0	12	04				1155	0	50 74
1668	0	11	80				1256	0	01 43
1358	0	97	21				1261	0	81 76
1708	0	54	40				1557	0	10 79
1686	0	20	60				1600	0	28 73
1686	0	16	71				1688	0	21 14
1593	0	11	18				1689	0	16 45
1355	0	83	30				1693	0	00 56
880	0	15	24				1700	0	17 00
2234	0	33	71				1705	0	07 81
2238	0	31	72				1709	0	14 09
2243	0	25	59				1710	0	15 80
2277	0	10	26				1691	0	20 24
2278	0	36	23				1710	0	30 57
2282	0	44	85				1714	0	46 38
2283	0	28	74				2231	0	09 28
2284	0	23	55				2233	0	03 21
2287	0	76	40				2244	0	04 11
2285	0	22	04				2249	0	05 88
2289	0	42	90				2251	0	06 43
2242	0	15	05				2253	0	09 13
2245	0	85	96				2265	0	07 23
2224	0	03	20				2280	0	10 40
2246	0	07	52				2288	0	00 95
2252	0	05	28				2271	1	31 24
2279	0	64	24						
2268	0	45	04						
2252/1	0	01	54						
2267	0	03	04						
2223	0	06	15						
2225	0	32	80						
2248	0	42	57						
2240	0	22	22						
2239	0	28	69						
515	1	09	73						
878	0	43	84						
1252	0	39	56						
1253	0	10	20						
1258	0	28	22						
1259	0	09	48						
1260	0	18	26						
175	0	01	35						

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		2581	0 12 76			31	1 28 73		
		2548	0 08 73			389	0 05 64		
		3143	0 08 48			380	0 08 06		
		680	0 03 58			84	0 08 50		
		547	0 05 08			77	0 08 83		
		2812	0 18 58			76	0 08 87		
		3748	0 03 80			271	0 01 89		
		2764	0 18 18			278	0 05 72		
		3762	0 01 02			314	0 07 13		
		1416	0 03 43			304	0 08 87		
		2682	0 31 42			388	0 04 76		
		2580	0 08 81			89	0 05 10		
		218	0 41 01			100	0 02 00		
		2589	0 06 08			137	0 02 18		
		2683	0 18 82			138	0 00 78		
		2536	0 11 18			142	0 01 26		
		2842	0 08 82			144	0 03 08		
		3137	0 02 15			146	0 00 30		
		2546	0 00 51			356	0 00 38		
		2819	0 02 63			812	0 01 62		
		2833	0 00 38			813	0 04 89		
		2673	0 37 98			86	0 07 13		
		2674	0 03 28			881	0 30 34		
		2678	0 01 56			1083	0 00 52		
		2681	0 36 61			1084	0 03 71		
		2527	0 48 51			431	0 07 54		
		2528	0 48 56			433	0 18 84		
		2530	0 05 16			883	0 27 89		
		2688	0 35 55			884	0 17 88		
		2542	0 10 02			811	0 04 19		
		2680	0 51 68			6	0 26 32		
		2700	0 51 25			16	1 12 84		
		2528	0 48 28			7	0 08 51		
		8	0 20 98			508	0 80 69		
		7	0 28 74			870	0 64 45		કુનેરન નિચલા
		2543	0 08 84			72	0 01 20		
		2534	0 23 08			74	0 01 56		
		2534	0 35 08			77	0 08 52		
		2531	0 32 13			85	0 03 85		
		2532	0 11 88			416	0 00 45		
		2524	0 38 28			814	0 04 58		
		2525	0 38 40			823	0 80 57		
		2526	0 41 70			76	0 05 73		
		2522	0 27 72			288	0 02 22		
		2588	0 40 11			780	0 02 52		
		2808	0 55 34			15	0 00 01		
		2820	0 02 56			507	0 04 28		
		2821	0 38 52			267	0 82 31		
		2338	0 07 08			275	0 03 30		
		1	3 85 86			827	0 82 34		
		2	3 25 88			1003	0 15 02		
		2521	2 50 08			1027	0 11 80		
		2662	16 21 87			18	0 24 75		
		2885	0 02 45						
		518	2 84 48						
		1441	0 00 18						
		1442	0 00 58						
		3138	0 06 84						
		3142	0 12 10						
7. કુનેરન ધાન		28, 282	0 18 22	કુનેરન ધાન		8. ધનવી (દકારણી)	1570	0 60 12	ધનવી ધાન
		2837, 307	0 10 75			(મારણી-1)	1571	0 58 05	
		88	0 43 64				1483	0 25 73	
		288	0 00 32				1664	0 01 30	
		299	0 02 80				1565	0 61 84	
		301	0 04 25				1566	0 02 86	
		302	0 02 10				1567	0 31 75	
							828	0 00 84	
							847	0 10 36	
							851	0 00 85	
							508	0 23 25	
							522	0 08 17	
							523	0 15 36	

[illegible]





1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		225	0 15 47				212	0 08 53	
		131	0 09 30				213	0 03 48	
		154	0 09 58				214	0 10 92	
		105	0 98 50				215	0 06 20	
		258	0 58 09				219	0 11 66	
		129	0 11 03				220	0 09 30	
		109	0 13 10				221	0 26 56	
		170	0 24 20				226	0 17 52	
		153	0 72 70				227	0 06 53	
		224	0 59 93				235	0 10 98	
		234	0 01 70				237	0 02 55	
		235	0 05 58				252	0 02 20	
		236	0 11 35				30	0 03 30	
		237	0 07 53				56	0 10 73	
		242	0 09 13				965	0 02 43	
		243	0 10 12				966	0 00 66	
		244	0 31 08				967	0 13 50	
		250	0 46 94				971	0 01 54	
		171	0 93 28				972	0 00 60	
		161	0 36 94				973	0 00 44	
		200	0 08 15				974	0 01 05	
		272/1	0 08 75				33	0 01 26	
		18	0 57 90				969	0 00 10	
		19	0 39 00				970	0 00 76	
		20	0 35 12				980	0 04 77	
		159	0 20 15				982	0 08 30	
		160	0 31 44				32	0 00 12	
		162	0 11 67				37	0 09 25	
		163	0 25 55				142	0 04 65	
		164	1 21 42				228	0 04 07	
		222	0 07 33				229	0 03 95	
		205	0 03 50				230	0 21 10	
		206	0 19 54				231	0 21 90	
		194	0 09 52				232	0 13 14	
		204	0 09 30				233	0 13 54	
		195	0 17 20				960	0 02 58	
		201	0 10 40				968	0 14 14	
		202	0 05 72				38	0 03 04	
		203	0 06 35				40	0 00 24	
		207	0 14 42				141	0 05 12	
		195	0 06 50				184	0 08 30	
		198	0 06 08				187	0 20 50	
		25	0 09 29				188	0 10 53	
		139	0 27 22				189	0 09 03	
		140	0 12 00				192	0 08 28	
		73	0 04 08				193	0 03 70	
		74	0 04 90				25	0 12 01	
		24	0 05 28				45	0 05 76	
		23	0 04 40				49	0 04 90	
		22	0 02 09				167	0 08 77	
		120	0 04 10				174	0 15 66	
		130	0 43 04				175	0 09 90	
		25	0 05 29				180	0 10 48	
		216	0 11 90				181	0 03 60	
		217	0 05 58				182	0 18 72	
		218	0 13 63				190	0 09 50	
		27	0 02 16				191	0 05 10	
		29	0 17 58				195	0 06 00	
		136	0 47 40				197	0 04 95	
		137	0 03 73				15	0 08 30	
		138	0 15 26				34	0 05 04	
		166	0 32 00				16	0 09 36	
		199	0 13 17				31	0 05 58	
		200	0 10 56				50	0 19 16	
		59	0 18 24				144	0 11 70	
		60	0 05 70				172	0 30 27	
							173	0 15 22	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		176	0 11 31				313	4 17	
		177	0 35 47				418	2 03	
		178	0 05 30				423	14 11	
		179	0 08 31				500	1 11	
		185	0 20 96				593	4 19	
		186	0 13 86				1156	2 12	
		140	0 90 07				818	14 02	
		145	0 12 96				830	0 12	
		57	0 08 05				1996	6 17	
		962	0 04 99				2242	5 15	
		963	0 02 37				3	5 07	
		964	0 01 08				5	4 17	
		975	0 01 48				24	0 19	
		976	0 03 36				38	6 17	
		977	0 02 29				46	3 03	
		979	0 06 45				139	0 05	
		35	0 09 40				222	0 15	
		149	0 06 38				414	2 10	
		208	0 03 04				415	1 11	
		209	0 16 22				425	0 09	
		210	0 28 60				537	1 01	
		211	0 21 00				540	13 19	
		135	0 38 30				745	0 10	
		122	0 36 28				1663	1 61	
		134	0 20 58				25	1 16	
		54	0 10 98				28	1 11	
		55	0 05 21				140	6 04	
		123	0 32 24				145	1 01	
		51	0 07 76				421	1 14	
		12	0 38 85				530	2 02	
		14	0 39 79				426	5 08	
		13	0 39 75				535	0 19	
		5	0 14 58				538	2 05	
		39	0 18 35				1988	1 07	
		53	0 01 70				176	5 12	
		132	0 06 75				285	5 16	
		147	0 51 28				2	3 10	
		148	1 82 06				4	0 15	
		245	2 17 06				11	4 18	
		250	0 51 54				21	1 06	
		1825	2 58 03				22	4 14	
		17	0 48 16				389	1 06	
		21	1 39 91				180	1 08	
		30	0 07 32				192	9 06	
		143	0 05 53				189	1 05	
		150	0 50 80				203	4 03	
		151	0 03 18				269	1 13	
		155	0 27 65				288	0 08	
		156	0 20 43				295	0 17	
		157	0 01 08				6	0 08	
		200	0 07 18				344	2 01	
		957	0 08 48				154	1 09	
		959	0 07 44				164	0 18	
		961	0 05 06				237	12 16	
		1096	1 43 84				166	1 16	
		2003	2 74 27				314	7 05	
		72	0 45 92				169	2 13	
		1	10 18 और बाद				322	3 09	
		234	3 08				361	0 13	
		122	9 00				395	0 09	
		131	5 13				496	0 12	
		142	0 10				121	6 08	
		261	27 18				149	2 01	
		264	23 04				165	0 10	
		273	3 13				184	1 03	
		311	0 05				307	2 19	
							335	1 11	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		348	2 05				602	0 16	
		353	0 11				199	2 07	
		19	6 19				215	4 10	
		504	3 14				225	8 13	
		190	11 16				225	31 01	
		315	5 07				227	12 05	
		457	1 07				619	5 09	
		299	2 09				679	5 14	
		186	3 16				833	2 14	
		266	1 04				15	0 16	
		267	2 07				19	1 10	
		346	2 10				20	0 15	
		343	2 08				23	1 04	
		179	0 15				29	0 19	
		301	4 17				30	4 13	
		318	4 15				33	3 11	
		148	0 14				34	6 15	
		167	4 04				36	4 01	
		337	1 13				206	6 00	
		340	0 16				308	5 10	
		339	0 13				419	3 06	
		363	1 11				420	1 14	
		365	1 10				528	1 17	
		372	2 03				536	0 17	
		147	9 16				37	5 10	
		296	2 07				44	1 13	
		316	1 00				117	5 06	
		332	3 10				198	2 01	
		347	0 14				49	6 17	
		532	0 09				150	4 12	
		366	0 18				151	1 05	
		376	0 04				174	3 05	
		359	0 11				358	3 09	
		175	2 05				388	0 13	
		317	1 08				170	1 03	
		375	0 12				185	4 12	
		394	0 15				239	2 15	
		356	2 05				118	2 00	
		378	0 12				119	3 03	
		382	0 11				270	3 16	
		357	0 01				408	2 15	
		377	0 11				7	2 10	
		238	2 17				409	2 06	
		412	0 12				200	4 00	
		381	1 11				193	1 12	
		410	0 12				210	4 15	
		9	5 12				411	0 11	
		8	2 01				123	8 05	
		110	3 19				124	0 08	
		398	5 07				401	4 09	
		232	5 13				62	6 10	
		141	1 09				68	2 00	
		216	1 19				208	1 05	
		217	1 19				114	5 04	
		218	3 18				559	1 02	
		219	2 09				187	5 17	
		220	2 02				396	22 13	
		323	1 14				413	1 02	
		309	2 07				447	6 07	
		310	4 11				236	8 10	
		392	1 00				416	0 05	
		397	2 05				422	1 07	
		182	3 16				529	2 08	
		592	1 02				598	0 08	

1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		607	0 06				1298	3 18	
		213	19 05				1457	9 00	
		241	4 00				1463	442 13	
		272	3 02				1468	0 08	
		590	3 12				1470	122 15	
		327	1 02				1465	72 12	
		385	03				3231/1475	4 15	
		417	1 10				3310/		
		539	1 01				3338/	143 15	
		16	0 14				1475/4		
		221	8 03				1424	9 14	
		223	4 06				1439	3 14	
		316	1 18				3294/2993	5 00	
		106	1 01				2994	68 13	
		146	1 07				3296/		
		178	2 12				2995	64 13	
		224	7 04				2996	251 04	
		26	21 09				1451	2 00	
		197	0 15				1454	6 03	
		201	4 15				3211/3161	2 08	
		202	5 03				584	2 16	
		302	2 00				1396	1 13	
		207	2 12				1414	0 02	
		233	15 07				3293/2993	5 00	
		263	7 19				1116	1 01	
		326	2 10				1116	1 02	
		330	0 06				1195	7 06	
		325	2 10				1397	0 02	
		324	1 17				3709/3238/		
		331	0 05				1425/3	5 15	
		427	4 06				3238/		
		431	3 09				1475/1	5 04	
		438	2 02		16. पंजोमा खान		1629	0 22 31	लडोली क्षेत्र
		442	0 06				1637	0 29 15	
		430	6 16				1616	0 29 34	
		439	2 06				1821	0 80 37	
		443	0 07				1620	1 19 05	
		432	3 06				1636	0 28 11	
		434	3 16				1830	0 88 50	
		437	1 01				1823	0 43 61	
		440	0 05				1831	0 73 73	
		428	10 16				1585	0 77 78	
		433	0 06				1621	0 27 40	
		441	2 11				1623	0 35 89	
		231	8 10				1833	2 17 98	
		125/1	3 06				1835	0 56 84	
		13	2 12				1627	0 15 32	
15. नन्दपुर खान		130	2 3	नन्दपुर क्षेत्र			1633	0 50 00	
		283	0 8				1634	1 70 73	
		1466	3 6				1834	0 60 09	
		1467	0 5				1590	0 17 57	
		1469	0 13				1606	0 30 87	
		2907/2	0 16				1617	0 45 46	
		1190	35 06				1619	1 50 58	
		1460	14 13				1622	0 11 14	
		1462	76 13				1625	0 03 33	
		1463	244 07				1626	0 17 06	
		1189	3 02				1630	0 70 89	
		111	5 04				1638	1 51 28	
		1188	0 07				1735	0 33 63	
		1394	1 09				1814	1 09 30	
		1191	24 06				1820	2 05 00	
		1463	00 16				1829	1 21 55	
							1628	0 04 07	

[illegible]

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
		2803	43 10					1210	0 04 50
		2807	25 16					1	1 24 24
		3233	1 17					17	0 02 14
		3301	1 10					19	0 15 37
		3838	85 04					34	0 31 52
		748	3 12					99	0 10 41
		1737	80 00					114	0 08 07
		3538	20 00					121	0 09 80
		3538	10 00					181	0 01 87
		522	12 04					276	0 27 06
		2766	12 00					409	1 19 55
		3200	20 00					438	0 59 42
		2587	24 00					439	0 58 12
								459	0 01 45
								515	0 02 56
								518	0 04 29
								561	0 04 26
6. मलबाड़ा क्षेत्र	1	4 05	मलबाड़ा क्षेत्र					715	0 38 94
	48	8 07						716	0 00 30
	119	8 07						729	0 21 98
	126	11 05						740	0 03 50
	184	0 00						900	0 18 17
	216	13 16						970	0 01 22
	873	10 13						1220	0 01 43
	898	3 13						1221	0 02 56
	1095	2 14						1223	0 36 26
	1118	27 04						1300	0 01 00
	1130	0 08						1420	0 12 98
	1160	7 19						1666	0 05 74
	1170	84 17						1667	0 09 88
	1171	3 15						1680	0 7 62
	1218	12 12						1680	3 73 18
								1762	0 01 74
								1791	0 01 54
6. भावकारी क्षेत्र	1213	0 16 32	भावकारी क्षेत्र					2128	0 07 60
	1216	0 04 66						2421	0 10 90
	120	0 07 65						2507	0 37 81
	96	0 05 25						2516	0 47 81
	78	0 02 40						2637	0 35 24
	70	0 01 92						2941	0 01 40
	100	0 04 60						2958	0 02 58
	150	0 01 75						3253	0 03 78
	3151	0 08 03						1133	0 02 86
	3156	0 04 69						1134	0 01 08
	3157	0 16 10						1195	0 14 46
	2160	0 03 37						1196	0 04 80
	2170	0 21 32						1197	0 27 44
	2171	0 17 60						1198	0 04 08
	2446	0 04 44						1199	0 03 15
	2451	0 09 10						1201	0 14 67
	2445	0 01 16						1218	1 08 35
	1483	0 07 20						1219	1 55 89
	1484	0 14 02						1719	0 10 67
	2477	0 04 25						1721	0 66 95
	87/1	0 09 24						1722	2 82 47
	3514	0 08 55						1723	5 39 62
	3515	0 05 44						1724	0 08 50
	3516	0 04 28						1725	0 96 04
	3865	0 07 74						2084	0 40 81
	16	0 46 18						2088	1 26 98
	18	0 04 56						2093	1 08 94
	1180	0 07 60						2095	4 39 21
	152	0 21 96						2453	0 04 24
	161	0 09 83						2526	0 27 29
	181	0 23 67						2671	2 06 14
	1202	0 05 41						3866	4 10 20



୧	୨	୩	୪	୫	୬	୭	୮	୯	
୩୦୧	୦	୦୨	୨୨					୩୩୦	୫ ୧୭
୩୧୦	୦	୧୧	୦୨					୩୩୧	୩ ୦୩
୩୧୧	୦	୧୦	୦୨					୩୩୫	୧୦ ୧୭
୩୩୦	୦	୦୪	୧୦					୦୫	୧୩ ୧୦
୩୩୫	୦	୦୧	୧୦					୦୫୧	୧୭ ୧୫
୩୩୬	୦	୦୧	୩୦					୦୫୫	୩୫ ୦୪
୩୩୭	୦	୧୨	୦୨					୩୩୭	୧୧ ୧୨
୩୩୮	୦	୦୨	୦୫					୦୫	୦ ୧୪
୩୩୯	୦	୩୦	୭୩					୦୫	୭ ୧୩
୩୪୦	୦	୩୦	୭୩					୦୭	୦୫ ୦୦
୩୪୧	୦	୦୦	୭୪					୦୫	୧ ୧୩
୩୪୨	୦	୦୫	୦୫					୩୦୩	୧ ୧୭
୩୪୩	୦	୦୦	୦୦					୩୦୩	୩ ୧୭
୩୪୪	୦	୦୦	୩୦					୩୦୩	୧୩ ୦୫
୩୪୫	୦	୦୨	୧୦					୩୦୩	୩ ୦୦
୩୪୬	୦	୦୫	୦୨					୩୩୦	୦ ୧୦
୩୪୭	୦	୦୭	୦୦					୩୩୦	୧୧ ୧୩
୩୪୮	୦	୦୨	୩୧					୧୨୩	୦ ୦୦
୩୪୯	୦	୧୧	୩୦					୧୨୩	୦ ୦୦
୩୫୦	୦	୦୦	୦୩					୩୩୦	୫ ୦୪
୩୫୧	୦	୦୦	୩୧					୩୩	୧୦ ୧୦
୩୫୨	୦	୧୩	୦୨					୭୦୭	୭ ୧୩
୩୫୩	୦	୦୫	୦୫					୩୩୩	୩ ୦୦
୩୫୪	୦	୧୦	୧୨					୩୩୩	୧୦ ୦୦

ଆବଣ ମସିହା

୧୧. ଅଗଷ୍ଟ ୧୯୧୩

୩୫୫	୩ ୦୪	ଅଗଷ୍ଟ ୧୯୧୩
୩୫୬	୩୦ ୧୨	
୩୫୭	୫ ୧୫	
୩୫୮	୩୦ ୦୦	
୩୫୯	୦୦ ୧୦	
୩୬୦	୫ ୧୫	
୩୬୧	୨ ୦୦	
୩୬୨	୦ ୦୭	
୩୬୩	୩୪ ୦୧	
୩୬୪	୧୨ ୧୦	
୩୬୫	୧୧ ୧୩	
୩୬୬	୧ ୧୦	
୩୬୭	୫ ୧୫	
୩୬୮	୦ ୦୦	
୩୬୯	୦ ୦୭	
୩୭୦	୧୫ ୧୩	
୩୭୧	୨୦ ୦୭	
୩୭୨	୦୦ ୦୨	
୩୭୩	୩୦ ୦୦	
୩୭୪	୨ ୧୪	
୩୭୫	୫ ୧୦	
୩୭୬	୫ ୧୦	
୩୭୭	୧୦ ୦୦	
୩୭୮	୭ ୧୦	
୩୭୯	୪ ୦୫	
୩୮୦	୫ ୩	
୩୮୧	୨୩ ୦୨	
୩୮୨	୭ ୦୦	
୩୮୩	୧୦ ୧୨	
୩୮୪	୧୪ ୦୨	
୩୮୫	୩ ୦୫	
୩୮୬	୧୦ ୦୨	
୩୮୭	୦ ୧୪	
୩୮୮	୧୦ ୦୪	
୩୮୯	୦ ୦୦	
୩୯୦	୪ ୦୪	
୩୯୧	୨ ୦୦	
୩୯୨	୨୦ ୦୭	

୧୨. ଅଗଷ୍ଟ ୧୯୧୩

ଶେଷ ହିସାବ ମା

୩୯୩	୧ ୧୬	୩୯	ଅଗଷ୍ଟ ୧୯୧୩
୩୯୪	୧ ୧୪	୩୧	
୩୯୫	୩ ୦୩	୨୧	
୩୯୬	୩ ୧୬	୫୭	
୩୯୭	୦ ୨୫	୩୨	
୩୯୮	୦ ୧୩	୬୨	
୩୯୯	୦ ୦୫	୦୫	
୪୦୦	୦ ୧୬	୫୨	
୪୦୧	୦ ୧୧	୦୦	



1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		20/1	2 08				14	8 00	
		10/1	4 00		15. ग्रीवल खान		3866/3765	94 01	ग्रीवल क्षेत्र
		14/2	4 00				3866/3765	67 02	
		23/2	4 00				3639	76 02	
		3	1 17				3833	185 04	
		6	8 00				3883	21 00	
		7/1	4 00				3459	4 04	
		2/1	4 00				3589	15 05	
		14	8 00				3796	55 06	
		17	8 00				3590	15 08	
		350	36 01				3796	49 10	
		9	3 02	टटेहड़ा क्षेत्र			3636	12 05	
		10	8 16				3786	34 08	
		11	8 00				3637	53 04	
		12	6 14				3386	11 19	
		19	8 00				3783	63 19	
		20	8 00				3785	112 15	
		5	8 00				3749	23 10	
		6	8 00				3751	55 19	
		14	8 00				3780	62 19	
		23	7 10				3673	39 13	
		24	2 00				3887/3734	43 02	
		1	8 00				3535	7 18	
		2	8 00				3789	60 12	
		3	8 00				3536	7 16	
		4	7 10				3790	62 11	
		6	5 04				3885	78 15	
		7	8 00		16. टटेहड़ा खान		8	8 00	टटेहड़ा क्षेत्र
		8	8 00				7	8 00	
		9	8 00				8	3 08	
		10	8 00				12	3 12	
		16	8 00				13	7 16	
		17	8 00				14	7 16	
		18	8 00				19	8 00	
		22/2	4 00				20	5 00	
		23	8 00				18	8 01	
		24	8 00				19	8 00	
		25	8 00				20	8 00	
		4	8 00				21	8 00	
		5	8 00				22	7 11	
		17/1	8 12				23/1	3 12	
		13	8 00				23/2	2 17	
		14	8 00				16/1	6 08	
		15	8 00				25/2	6 08	
		24	4 10				6/2	3 03	
		20	0 04				15	7 12	
		11	8 00				16	7 12	
		13	8 00				25	7 04	
		14	7 18				1	6 07	
		15	2 02				2/1	1 06	
		16	6 16				2/2	5 11	
		17	8 00				3	1 09	
		18	8 00				15	8 00	
		19	8 00				16/2	1 12	
		20	8 00				17	8 00	
		6	8 00				18	8 00	
		15	8 00				19	8 00	
		16	8 00				20	8 00	
		8	8 00				21	8 00	
		9	8 00				22	8 00	
		10	8 00				23	8 00	
		11	8 00						
		10/12	8 00						
		3/12	4 03						
		4/12	8 00						
		13	8 00						



1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
		1776	0	02	10				
		1845	0	31	32				
		2660	0	29	20				
		1086	0	66	15				
		5	0	34	22				
		38	0	10	60				
		1454	0	03	58				
		1481	0	08	77				
		1847	0	06	29				
		1100	0	02	56				
		1100	0	13	20				
		982	0	24	36				
		1455	0	98	79				
		1456	0	28	83				
		1482	0	60	80				
		1514	0	11	71				
		1598	1	50	47				
		1843	0	01	97				
		1844	0	17	54				
		1873	0	32	45				
		1976	0	25	17				
		2408	1	68	46				
		2579	0	03	60				
20. काईडी खान		2308	0	36	67	काईडी क्षेत्र			
		2292	0	46	41				
		2301	1	66	01				
		2300	0	09	31				
		2317	0	14	70				
		2304	0	25	27				
		2307	0	17	68				
		2284	0	59	65				
		2291	0	20	38				
		2274	0	31	21				
		2286	1	08	27				
		2285	0	49	06				
		2287	0	91	85				
		2297	1	47	84				
		2281	0	43	21				
		2289	1	07	58				
		2288	1	07	88				
		2298	0	44	97				
		2295	0	62	84				
		2340	0	23	52				
		2431	2	34	06				
		2444	0	13	16				
		2372	0	40	94				
		2433	0	04	93				
		2436	0	34	28				
		2438	1	22	54				
		2439	0	28	58				
		2434	0	03	78				
		2375	1	25	65				
		2447	0	67	82				
		2373	0	07	18				
		2364	0	15	48				
		2314	0	80	96				
		2316	0	51	68				
		2337	0	79	76				
		2361	0	67	84				
		2366	0	72	74				
		2363	0	05	37				
		2360	0	05	12				
		2336	0	11	92				
		2347	1	80	88				
		2362	0	06	10				
		2312	0	15	49				
		2313	0	54	08				
		2310	0	03	12				
		2311	0	15	99				
		2435	0	20	09				
		2338	0	23	80				
		2409	0	19	30				
		2442	0	01	44				
		2349	0	99	04				
		2320	0	77	45				
		2346	0	38	97				
		2250	0	50	43				
		2345	0	34	56				
		2376	0	02	16				
		2407	0	00	72				
		2385	0	08	52				
		2251	0	10	50				
		4733	1	20	53				
		2343	0	18	48				
		2318	1	14	53				
		2369	0	81	15				
		2381	0	03	64				
		2359	0	61	09				
		2378	0	25	08				
		2379	0	74	13				
		2380	0	06	86				
		2382	0	04	91				
		2386	0	19	12				
		2315	0	30	15				
		2331	0	11	48				
		2384	0	24	40				
		2402	0	19	38				
		2403	0	18	85				
		2406	0	20	80				
		2330	0	30	50				
		2328	0	22	63				
		2329	1	33	40				
		2329	0	12	32				
		2332	0	59	44				
		2370	0	34	56				
		2324	0	05	38				
		2371	0	13	64				
		2323	0	20	19				
		2282	0	38	24				
		2272	0	21	66				
		2273	0	01	56				
		2319	0	65	89				
		2252	0	42	72				
		2348	1	51	23				
		2246	0	18	69				
		2309	0	13	05				
		2410	0	17	00				
		2303	0	19	77				
		2306	0	16	38				
		2445	0	03	78				
		2293	0	53	21				
		2270	0	36	10				
		2456	0	13	78				
		2458	0	47	67				
		2461	0	40	56				
		2453	0	25	35				
		2279	0	57	13				
		2275	0	13	16				
		2460	0	29	58				
		2248	0	22	20				
		2280	0	74	43				
		2305	0	02	30				
		2365	0	01	02				

[illegible]

१	२	३	४	५	६	७	८	९
२. गणपति	१०२०	०	८	दीहरी	१६७८	३	०६	श्रीकी गणपति
	१०४२	१०४५	०	१६	१६८९	१४	०२	
	१०३१				१	२	११	
	१०४७/१०४९	१	१९		१६७६	७	०१	
	१०४८/१०५०	१	१		७९६	२	१२	
	१०२३/१०३९	१	१५		८२३	९	१५	
	१०८७				७८९	१२	१२	
		१०९७	३	१७	७९०	६	११	
	१०९३				८००	४	१०	
	११८५				८३३	०	१७	
		११०९	२	०	८३०	०	१६	
	१०९३				८३१	३	१९	
	११८६				१६५९	४	०	
		१११०	०	३	९०६	०	१०	
	१०९३				१६५६	३	३	
					१६५७	०	८	
					१६५८	१	७	
					८०१	७	७	
३. मधलीर	८८२	११५	१०	दीहरी शेल	८०६	११	१३	
	८८४	१७	१२		८८६	७	१३	
	८७७	४	१३		८०७	११	११	
	९४८	८	१७		१६६८	२	१२	
	९५६	१३	२		७७०	५	९	
	९१०	५	७		८८७	०	७	
	१०४७	४	१६		७८८	०	८	
	१०६१	५	८		१६६३	८	३	
	१०६४	५	५		१६६४	५	४	
	१०६६	३	८		१६६५	४	०	
	१०६८	७	०		१६७३	०	१८	
	१०६९	२	१		१६४४	१	१	
	१०७०	६	१६		१६६१	७	६	
	१०९०	९	१४		१६४८	१	१४	
	१०९७	३०	७		१९५७	५	१७	
	११०७	१७	१४		५	३	१२	
	८८३	११९	१८		१९४७	०	१७	
	८८४	८६	१७		१९४९	०	११	
	८८५	३१	९		१९१५	१	६	
	८८७	१४०	६		८२६	९	१२	
	९३१	३४	१०		८२७	२	१	
	१११०	१३२	१०		८२८	७	७	
					६	४	४	
					७	१	१३	
४. बहरी खात	३६८	३	१७	श्रीकी गणपति	८०९	१५	१३	
	७७७	१	१९		८०२	१६	१२	
	७९१	१५	१७		८१०	८	७	
	७९८	११	१२		८११	४	१६	
	८०८	४	०६		८१२	४	१७	
	८२९	७२	०४		८१३	४	००	
	१६५१	४	१६		८१६	१०	४	
	१६५५	११८	१७		८२२	९	६	
	१६५८	२२	१०		७८७	३	१६	
	१९४८	०	११		८०५	५	१८	
	१९५८	२२	११		८१४	५	३	
	१९५९	११	१२		८१७	३	६	
	१९६४	०	१२		८१५	४	११	
	७९९	३०	०९		८२१	९	२	
	८०३	६०	०१		८२४	८	४	
	८१९	१२७	०५		७९२	२	२	
	८२०	३६	०३		८३७	०	१९	
	८२५	४२	१९		१६७५	३	११	
	१६४९	२०२	०६		७९३	१	१७	
	१६६०	३	१४		१६७४	३	६	
	२	१	१४		७९४	१	१	
	३/२	१७	०३		७९५	१	८	
	८३२	५	०७					
	८३५	११	१३					

1	2	3	4	5
	818	3	13	
	797	5	00	
	1962	4	16	
	1963	2	12	
	1662	4	10	
	1961	6	6	
	801	7	7	
	806	11	13	
	886	7	13	
	807	11	11	
	1668	2	12	
	1648	1	14	
	1957	5	17	
	5	3	12	
	1947	0	17	
	1949	0	11	
	1945	1	6	
	809	15	13	
	802	16	12	
	810	8	7	
	811	4	16	
	812	4	17	
	817	3	6	
	815	4	11	
	821	9	2	
	824	8	4	
	792	2	2	
	837	0	19	
	1675	3	11	
	793	1	17	
	1674	3	6	

नीलामी निम्नलिखित नियमों एवं शर्तों के आधार पर की जा रही है:—

- नीलामी/विक्रय के नियम व शर्तें हिमाचल प्रदेश लघु खनिज (रियायत) संशोधित नियमावली, 1971 के अनुसार नीलामी स्थल पर उद्घोषित की जाएगी।
- बोली प्रति वापिक होगी।
- कोई भी व्यक्ति जो बोली देने का इच्छुक हो वह पीठासीन अधिकारी के पास 100 रु० की अग्रिम धन राशि अग्रिम रूप में जमा करवायेगा।
- बोलीदाता बोली देने के पहले उनकी अपनी रुचि में खानों का निरीक्षण कर सकते हैं।
- पीठासीन अधिकारी को अधिकार दिये गये हैं कि वह खानों का रद्दीकरण बिना कारण बताये कर सकता है।
- कोई भी व्यक्ति सरकार की देय राशि का वाकीदार नहीं होना चाहिए। कोई बोलीदाता जो दोषी पाया जाएगा उसे नीलामी में भाग लेने की अनुमति नहीं दी जाएगी।
- लघु खनिजों के ठेके की अवधि स्लैटों के अतिरिक्त स्वीकृत ठेकों की तिथि से एक वर्ष तक होगी व स्लैटों के मामलों में 5 वर्ष की होगी।
- नीलामी पूर्ण होने पर परिणाम घोषित कर दिये जाएंगे और अस्थाई तौर से चयन किय गये बोलीदाता निम्न तरीकों से वे नीलामी की वांछित राशि पीठासीन अधिकारी के पास जमा करवायेगा।

जहां पर बोली की राशि 1000/- रु० प्रति वर्ग की दर से अधिक होगी उस अवस्था में उच्च बोलीदाता बोली की 25 प्रतिशत राशि प्रतिभूति राशि के तौर पर तथा बोली की 25 प्रतिशत राशि पहली किस्त के रूप में जमा करवायेगा। यदि उच्चतम बोली 1000/- रु० तक हो तो उस अवस्था में पूरी बोली की राशि के अतिरिक्त 25 प्रतिशत प्रतिभूति राशि के तौर पर जमा करवायेगा। यदि कोई उच्च बोलीदाता बोली की वांछित राशि जमा न करवायेगा तो उन अवस्था में उस द्वारा जमा की गई अग्रिम राशि जब्त कर दी जाएगी।

- सरकार को अधिकार है कि वे उच्चतम बोली को बिना किसी कारण बताये स्वीकार या अस्वीकार कर सकती है।
- सरकार को अधिकार है कि वे ठेके की अवधि बढ़ा या घटा सकती है।
- कोई भी खनन कार्य पुल से, राष्ट्रीय उच्च मार्ग व राज्य उच्च मार्ग के 60 मीटर की दूरी तक नहीं किया जाएगा।
- बोली के दौरान यदि कोई बोलीदाता दुर्व्यवहार करेगा तो पीठासीन अधिकारी को यह अधिकार होगा कि वह उस द्वारा जमा की गई अग्रिम राशि जब्त कर लें उस बोली में भाग नहीं लेने देगा व उसे 3 साल के लिए भविष्य की बोली में हिस्सा न लेने के काबिल घोषित कर सकता है।
- बोली केवल उसी अवस्था में स्वीकृत समझी जाएगी जब इसकी स्वीकृति सरकार से अथवा किसी दूसरे सक्षम अधिकारी द्वारा जारी हो।
- नीलामी के लिए अधिसूचित लघु खनिज खानों का क्षेत्र अधिसूचना में दर्शाया गया ही मान्य होगा। इसके अतिरिक्त खानों के स्थल नक्शे / राजस्व रिकार्ड जो सम्बन्धित खनिज अधिकारी/महाप्रबन्धक के पास होंगे, उन पर दिखाया गया क्षेत्र ही अधिसूचित लघु खनिज खानों का क्षेत्र मान्य होगा।
- नीलामी की गई लघु खनिज खानों का कब्जा उसी अवस्था में दिया जायेगा जब वर्तमान ठेके की अवधि समाप्त होगी।
- बोली में हिस्सा लेने वाले बोलीदाता बोली के मध्य अपनी आपत्ति उठा सकते हैं। बोली समाप्त होने पर किसी प्रकार की आपत्ति पर सुनवाई नहीं की जाएगी।
- ठेकेदार हिमाचल प्रदेश लघु खनिज (रियायत) संशोधित नियमावली, 1971 के नियम 33 के अंतर्गत स्वीकृति आदेश प्राप्त होने की तिथि से 3 मास के भीतर शर्तनामों पर हस्ताक्षर करेगा। यदि शर्तनामों पर हस्ताक्षर करने में ठेकेदार इस अवधि के मध्य असफल रहे तो उस अवस्था में ठेका रद्द समझा जाएगा तथा उस द्वारा जमा करवाई गई प्रतिभूति राशि एवं प्रथम किस्त की राशि जब्त कर दी जायेगी।
- लघु खनिज खानों जो नीलामी के लिए अधिसूचना में आई हो यदि उसका सीमांकन न किया गया हो तो ऐसी खानों को नीलामी में सम्मिलित नहीं किया जाएगा।
- यदि कोई बोलीदाता अधिसूचित खानों के बारे में जानकारी प्राप्त करना चाहता हो तो वह सम्बन्धित खनिज अधिकारी/महाप्रबन्धक से सम्पर्क स्थापित करे।
- जहां कहीं भी लोहे के (स्पेन) द्वारा खनिजों की ढुलाई करने की आवश्यकता हो तो इस को स्थापित करने से पहले इस कार्यालय द्वारा (अलाईनमेंट) अनुमोदन किया जाए, अन्यथा ठेका स्वतः ही रद्द समझा जायेगा।
- खनिज पट्टों पर दिया गया रकबा नीलामी सूचना का भाग नहीं समझा जायेगा।
- यदि कोई नीलाम किया गया क्षेत्र वन विभाग की जमीन हो तो नीलाम किए गए रकबे का भाग नहीं होगा।

कार्यालय सहायक आबकारी एवं कराधान आयुक्त,  
चम्बा, जिला चम्बा, हिमाचल प्रदेश

अधिसूचना

चम्बा, 20 जुलाई, 1990

सं० ई० एण्ड टी०/सी० एच० एम०/एस० टी०/सी० टी०/3048.—सर्वे सामान्य को सूचित किया जाता है कि हिमाचल प्रदेश सामान्य बित्री कर अधिनियम, 1968 तथा केंद्रीय बित्री कर अधिनियम, 1956 के अधीन दिय गये निम्नलिखित पंजीकरण प्रमाण-पत्र प्रत्येक क समक्ष दी गई तिथि से रद्द

किये गए हैं:—

क्रम सं०	व्यापारी का नाम व पता	पंजीकरण प्रमाण- पत्र का नम्बर जी० एम० टी/ सी० एम० टी	रद्द करने की तिथि	विशेष कथन
1	2	3	4	5
1.	मसजं प्रताप चन्द लक्ष्मी घर, चम्बा	253/37	5-8-89	व्यापारी न आयात कर रहा है और न ही गत 3 सालों से विक्रय और प्रमाणात्मक पट्टा नहीं है।
2.	मैसजं बाबू राम, सवर बाजार, डल्हौजी	257/41	12-4-89	दुकान बन्द
3.	मैसजं कर्म चन्द मदन लाल, जनसाली	284	7-1-89	मालिक की मृत्यु हो जाने से दुकान बन्द।
4.	मैसजं अविनाश कुमार महाजन, चम्बा	505/1251	5-8-89	दुकान बन्द
5.	मैसजं सिंह एण्ड सन्ज, डल्हौजी	506/252	7-4-89	-यथोपरि-
6.	मैसजं चम्बा गिफ्ट स्टोर, चम्बा	509	28-6-88	दुकान बन्द
7.	मैसजं छाबड़ा जनरल स्टोर, चम्बा	514/259	25-5-89	दुकान बन्द
8.	मैसजं भगवान सिंह एण्ड कम्पनी, वाघरी	530	18-6-88	दुकान बन्द
9.	मैसजं होटल अखण्ड चण्डी, चम्बा	535/279	21-3-90	-यथोपरि-
10.	मैसजं डोगरा सोप वर्क्स, दरमन	546/290	2-5-89	-यथोपरि-
11.	मैसजं सोहन लाल चमन लाल, चम्बा	554	19-12-86	-यथोपरि-
12.	मैसजं साक्षी पाल एण्ड सन्ज, चम्बा	577	25-6-89	-यथोपरि-
13.	मैसजं श्रीम प्रकाश पवन कुमार, मैहला	578/322	28-11-86	-यथोपरि-
14.	मैसजं रत्न चन्द एण्ड सन्ज, लाहल	622/363	2-4-89	-यथोपरि-
15.	मैसजं डल फ्लोर मिलज, चम्बा	602	18-1-90	-यथोपरि-
16.	मैसजं जसबाल जनरल स्टोर, चम्बा	641/382	4-2-89	-यथोपरि-
17.	मैसजं कुलदीप सिंह अतिल कुमार, बरगल	663/182	3-12-88	-यथोपरि-
18.	मैसजं भारत लैदर कारपोरेशन, चम्बा	665	8-6-88	-यथोपरि-
19.	मैसजं बरिन्द्र बूट होऊस, चम्बा	689/436	10-8-89	-यथोपरि-
20.	मैसजं धर्मपाल राजकुमार, चम्बा	708/449	4-6-88	-यथोपरि-
21.	मैसजं अर्पना प्राइवेट्स, धड़ोग	729	29-6-89	-यथोपरि-
22.	मैसजं बिन्दल कारपेट इण्डस्ट्रीज, बकलोह	773/-	27-6-89	-यथोपरि-
23.	मैसजं स्टैंडर्ड गैस एजेंसी, बनीखेत	859/-	5-9-87	-यथोपरि-

डी० के० हिमालयन,  
महायक अधिकारी एवं कराधान आयुक्त,  
चम्बा, जिला चम्बा।

**भाग 3—अधिनियम, विधेयक और विधेयकों पर प्रवर समिति के प्रतिवेदन, वैधानिक नियम तथा हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, हिमाचल प्रदेश हाई कोर्ट, फाइनेशियल कमिशनर तथा कमिशनर आफ इन्कम टैक्स द्वारा अधिसूचित आदेश इत्यादि**

स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण विभाग

अधिसूचना

शिमला-2, 2 अप्रैल, 1990

संख्या स्वास्थ्य-ए० (3) 4/77.—हिमाचल प्रदेश के राज्यपाल, भारत के संविधान के अनुच्छेद 309 के परन्तुक द्वारा प्रदत्त शक्तियों का प्रयोग करते हुए और हिमाचल प्रदेश लोक सेवा आयोग के परामर्श से इस विभाग की अधिसूचना संख्या 1-197/69-एच० एण्ड एफ० पी०, तारीख 7-12-1973 द्वारा अधिसूचित और समय-समय पर यथासंशोधित हिमाचल प्रदेश के स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण विभाग में बतनमान रुपये 510-15-600-20-700-25-850-30-940 में श्रवणमति प्रविधिज्ञ (ओडियोमीटरी टेकनिशियन) (अराजपत्रित) वर्ग-3 पद के भर्ती और प्रोन्नति नियमों में निम्नलिखित संशोधन करते हैं:—

1. संक्षिप्त नाम और प्रारम्भ.—(1) इन नियमों का संक्षिप्त नाम हिमाचल प्रदेश स्वास्थ्य और परिवार कल्याण विभाग अधीनस्थ वर्ग-3 सेवाएं भर्ती, प्रोन्नति और सेवा की कतिपय शर्तें (सातवां संशोधन) नियम, 1990 है।

(2) ये नियम राजपत्र, हिमाचल प्रदेश में प्रकाशित किए जाने की तारीख से प्रवृत्त होंगे।

2. उपबन्ध "क" के क्रम संख्या 110 के स्तम्भ संख्या 6 और 7 में संशोधन.— हिमाचल प्रदेश स्वास्थ्य एवं परिवार कल्याण विभाग सक्माडिनट क्लास-III सर्विसिज (रिक्रूटमेंट, प्रमोशन एण्ड मर्गेन कंडीशन आफ सर्विसिज) रूलन, 1971 (जिन्हें हममें इसके

Col. No. 6

Col. No. 7

Between 18 and 35 years.

Essential:

Degree in B.Sc. (Speech and Hearing) of a recognised University/Institute recognised by the Himachal Pradesh Government.

Desirable:

Knowledge of customs, manners and dialects of Himachal Pradesh and suitability for appointment in peculiar condition prevailing in the Pradesh.

आदेश द्वारा,  
हस्ताक्षरित/-  
मन्त्रि।

[Authoritative English text of H. P. Government notification No. Health-4(3) 4/77, dated 2-4-1990 as required under Article 348(3) of the Constitution of India].

## HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 2nd April, 1990

No. Health-A(3) 4/77.—In exercise of the powers conferred by proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh, in consultation with the Himachal Pradesh Public Service Commission, is pleased to amend the Recruitment and Promotion Rules for the post of Audiometry Technician (Class-III Non-Gazetted) in the pay scale of Rs. 510-15-600-20-700-25-850-30-940 in the Health and Family Welfare Department, Himachal Pradesh notified *vide* Notification No. 1-197/69-H&FP, dated 7-12-1973 as amended from time to time as under, namely:—

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(i) These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Health and Family Welfare Department Subordinate Class-III Services (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) (Seventh Amendment) Rules, 1990.

(ii) These rules shall come into force from the date of publication in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh.

2. *Amendment of Col. 6 and 7 against Sl. No. 110 of Annexure 'A'.*—For the existing provision against Col. 6 and 7 against Sl. No. 110 in respect of post of Audiometry Technician of Annexure 'A' attached to the Himachal Pradesh Health and Family Welfare Department Subordinate Class-III Services (Recruitment, Promotion and Certain Conditions of Service) Rules, 1971 (hereinafter called the said rules) the following shall be substituted, namely:—

Col. No. 6 Between 18 and 35 years.

Col. No. 7 Essential :

Degree in B. Sc. (Speech and Hearing) of a recognised University/Institute recognised by the Himachal Pradesh Government.

Desirable :

Knowledge of customs, manners and dialects of Himachal Pradesh and suitability for appointment in peculiar conditions prevailing in the Pradesh.

By order,  
Sd/-  
Secretary.

## PUBLIC WORKS AND I. P. H. DEPARTMENT

### CORRIGENDUM

Shimla-2, the 27th December, 1988

No. 1-150/71-PWA. Vol II.—In partial modification to this Department notification of even number, dated 7-7-1982, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order the following additions and deletions in the Livery Rules of workcharged staff with immediate effect:—

Rule 12 Please read the word 'or' instead of 'of' appearing in the 5th line between the words negligence and misuse.

Rule 16 Read the word 'article' for the word 'articles' appearing in the 2nd line after the word 'each'.

Rule 20 Delete the words 'suffering from infectious disease' appearing in the last line after the words 'In case of death of the official'.

Rule 21 Delete the last 4 lines of Rule 21 appearing after the word 'However' and read it after the word 'However' as "the life of the livery articles is to be reckoned from the date these are actually due to the concerned staff and not from the date the articles are made available".

Rule 22 Delete the word 'Note' appearing below Rule 22 and read this provision as sub-rule (i) to this rule.

This issue with the prior concurrence of the Finance Department obtained *vide* their Dy. No. 1364-Fin. (C) A (3) 28/77, dated 7-11-1988.

Sd/-  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

[Authorised English Text of this Government notification No. PBW (B&R) (B) 26 (46)/86, dated 29-12-1988 as required under clause (3) of Article 348 of the Constitution of India].

## PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 29th December, 1988

No. PBW (B&R) (B) 26 (46)/86.—In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 4 and 5 of section 17 of Himachal Pradesh Town and Country Planning Act, 1977 (Act No. 12 of 1977), read with section 20 of the Himachal Pradesh General Clauses Act, 1968 (Act No. 16 of 1969), the Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following amendment with immediate effect in the Interim Development Plan for Shimla Planning Area, which was published *vide* this Government notification No. 9.12/72-PW, dated 24-3-1979, in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh (Extraordinary), dated 31-3-1979, namely:—

### AMENDMENT

Amendment of Sl. No 13 of table below item No. (xii) of Para 10.4.1.2 of Chapter-X. For the existing words in column (2) against Sl. No. 13 of table below item No. (xii) of Para 10.4.1.2 of Chapter-X of the Interim Development Plan for Shimla Planning Area, the following shall be substituted, namely:—

"Sanjauli Bazar from junction of Engine Ghar Road to Old Octroi Post along National Highway".

By order,  
ASHOK KUMAR MOHAPATRA,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary.

## DIRECTORATE OF STATE LOTTERIES HIMACHAL PRADESH

### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 13th February, 1990

No. Fin. (LTR) A (9) 1/86.—The Governor of Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following rule to amend further "Himachal Pradesh State Lotteries Rule, 1987" which were notified *vide* this department notification No. Fin. (LTR) A (9) 1/86, dated 9-9-1987 and published in the Extraordinary Gazette of Himachal Pradesh on 18th August, 1988, namely:—

1. *Short title and commencement.*—(i) These rules

may be called Himachal Pradesh State Lotteries (3rd Amendment) Rule, 1990.

(ii) These rules shall come into force with immediate effect.

**Amendment of Rule 23.**—For the existing Rule 23 of the Himachal Pradesh State Lotteries Rule, 1987, the following shall be substituted:—

23. There may or may not be a counterfoil for lottery tickets. In the event of there being a counterfoil, the contents thereof shall be decided in consultation with the lottery organiser.

By order,  
M. K. KAW,  
Financial Commissioner.

[Authoritative English text of notification No. WLAF (3) 13/75-II, dated 23-7-1987 as hereby published in the Rajpatra, Himachal Pradesh is required under Article 348(3) of the Constitution of India].

### WELFARE DEPARTMENT NOTIFICATION

Shimla-171 002, the 29th June, 1987

No. WLAF(3)-13/76-II.—In exercise of the powers conferred by section 23 of the Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls Act, 1956 (Centre Act No. 104 of 1956), the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to make the following amendments in Himachal Pradesh Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls

Rules, 1982, notified *vide* this Department notification of even number, dated 9th February, 1982 published in the Himachal Pradesh Rajpatra, dated 26th May, 1984, namely:—

1. **Short title and commencement.**—(1) These rules may be called the Himachal Pradesh Suppression of Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls (Second Amendment) Rules, 1987.

(2) These rules shall come into force at once.

2. **Amendment of rule 22.**—After sub-rule (4) of rule 22 of the Himachal Pradesh regarding Immoral Traffic in Women and Girls (Himachal Pradesh) Rules, 1982, (hereinafter called the said rule), the following new sub-rule (5) shall be added, namely:—

(5) A child admitted along with mother shall receive the following scale of diet according to age:—

Quantity per child per day,—

- Under 12 months,—  
One litre milk 100 gms sugar.
- Over 12 months and under 2 years,—  
One litre milk, 100 gms sugar, 100 gms rice, 25 gms dal and 10 gms salt,
- Over 2 years and upto 3 years,—  
1/2 litre milk, 50 gms sugar, 100 gms atta, 50 gms dal, 100 gms vegetable, 20 gms salt, and
- Over 3 years and upto 7 years,—  
As per scale permissible to the mother of the child.

3. **Amendment of rule 39.**—The word “medically” shall be inserted between the words “is found” and “fit for the marriage” occurring in sub-rule (2) of rule 39 of the said rules.

ARVIND KAUL,  
Secretary.

भाग 4—स्थानीय स्वायत्त शासन: म्युनिसिपल बोर्ड, डिस्ट्रिक्ट बोर्ड, नोटिफाइड और टाउन एरिया तथा पंचायती राज विभाग

शून्य

### भाग 5—वैयक्तिक अधिसूचनाएं और विज्ञापन

In the Court of Shri J. L. Chauhan, Senior Sub-Judge,  
Chamba

G. & W. Act No. 3/90

Date of hearing 21-8-1990.

Pushap Raj s/o Basu Dev, Mohalla Chontra, Chamba  
Nager ..Petitioner.

Versus

General Public etc. ..Respondent.

To

- General Public.
- Maheswarj wd/o Basu Dev, Muhalla Chontra, Chamba Town.
- Pardeep Kumar s/o Basu Dev, r/o Muhalla Chontra, Chamba Town.
- Lablesli Kumars/o Basu Dev, r/o Muhalla Chontra, Chamba Town.
- Shiv Nand s/o Mahadev, r/o Sungal, Pargana Gudiyal, Tehsil & District Chamba.
- Mst. Jai Dei w/o Shiv Nand, r/o Sungal, Pargana Gudiyal, Tehsil & District Chamba.
- Nabel Kishore s/o Shiv Nand, r/o Sungal, Pargana Gudiyal, Tehsil & District Chamba.

Whereas in the above noted case, an application has been filed by Shri Pushap Raj, Applicant/Petitioner u/s 10 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890 for the appointment of guardian for the person and property of the minor Amen Kumar s/o Himat Raj Sharma, r/o Village Muhalla Chontra, Chamba Town, Tehsil Chamba.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the above noted respondents of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objection, if any, to the grant of such guardianship in this court on 21-8-1990 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex-parte*...

Given under my hand and seal of the court on this 25th day of June, 1990.

Seal. J. L. CHAUHAN,  
Senior Sub-Judge,  
Chamba (H.P.).

In the Court of Shri J. L. Chauhan, Senior Sub-Judge,  
Chamba, District Chamba

Succession. Act 6/90

Pending for 5-9-1990

Romesh Chand s/o Kirpa Ram, r/o Village Bhiyali  
Pargana Jundh, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba  
..Petitioner.

Versus

General public etc. ..Respondents.

To

- General Public. ..Respondent.
- Rattan Chand s/o Kirpa Ram, r/o Bhiyali, Pargana Jundh, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba.
- Sat Paul s/o Kirpa Ram, r/o Bhiyali, Pargana Jundh, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba.

4. Kiso Devi d/o Kirpa Ram w/o Kuldeep, r/o Village Manjhli, Pargana Junth, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba.
5. Binta Devi d/o Kirpa Ram w/o Devi Parsad, r/o Manjhli, Pargana Junth, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba. *Proforma Respondents.*

Whereas in the above noted case, the above named petitioners filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of succession certificate in respect of the assets of Shri Kirpa Ram r/o Village Bhiyali, Pargana Junth, Tehsil Salooni, District Chamba, who died on 11-2-1990.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the above noted respondents of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objection if any, to the grant of such succession certificate in this court on or before 5-9-1990 at 10 A.M. personally or through an authorised agent/pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court on this 19th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

J. L. CHAUHAN,  
Senior Sub-Judge,  
Chamba, District Chamba.

### PROCLAMATION U/O 5, RULE 20, C.P.C.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Gupta, Senior Sub-Judge, Una, Himachal Pradesh

Succession Act Petition No. 3/90

Smt. Relo Devi wd/o Tulsi s/o Fakiria caste Bahti, r/o Village Kad, Tehsil Amb, District Una, Himachal Pradesh. *..Petitioners.*

*Versus*

General Public

*.. Respondents.*

To

The general public.

Whereas the above petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of succession certificate in respect of the assets of late Shri Tulsi s/o Fakiria caste Bahti, r/o Kad Tehsil Amb, District Una, who died on 4-10-1989.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of the illaqua and the kins of the deceased to file objections, if any, to the grant of such succession certificate in this court on 23-8-1990 at 10 A.M. personally or through pleader or any other authorised agent failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this 6th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

V. K. GUPTA,  
Senior Sub-Judge,  
Una, District Una.

In the Court of Shri V. K. Gupta, Senior Sub-Judge, Una, Himachal Pradesh

Succession Act Petition No. 7 of 1990

1. Shrimati Tripta Devi wd/o Ramesh Chand s/o Ram Dass, 2. Shrimati Bhagwanti w/o Ram Dass, r/o Village Kungrat Majra Luthre, Sub-Tehsil Haroli,

District Una, Himachal Pradesh

*..Petitioners.*

*Versus*

The general public

*.. Respondents*

To

The general public.

Whereas the above named petitioners has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act for the grant of succession certificate in respect of the assets of late Shri Ramesh Chand s/o Ram Dass caste Brahman, r/o Village Kungrat Majra Luthre, Sub-Tehsil Haroli, District Una, Himachal Pradesh, who died on 21-11-1989.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of the illaqua and the kins of the deceased to file objections, if any, to the grant of such succession certificate in this court on 20-9-1990 at 10 A.M. personally or through pleader or any other authorised agent, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of the court this 6th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

V. K. GUPTA,  
Senior Sub-Judge,  
Una District, Una.

In the Court of Shri K. P. Singh, Sub-Judge 1st Class (II), Amb, District Una

Civil Suit No. 732/89

Jagdish Ram etc.

*Versus*

Sher Singh etc.

*Versus:*

No. 3 Jeet s/o Shri Bhagtu, caste Jheor, resident of Village Amb, Tehsil Amb, District Una, Himachal Pradesh *..Proforma Defendant.*

Whereas in the above noted civil suit it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the defendant named above cannot be served through an ordinary way of service. Hence this proclamation u/o 5, Rule 20, C.P.C. is issued against him to appear in this court on 22-8-1990 at 10 A.M. personally, through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case failing which an *ex-parte* proceeding shall be taken against him.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court this the 16th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

K. P. SINGH,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class (II),  
Amb, District Una.

### PROCLAMATION UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C.I.C.

In the Court of Shri K. K. Sharma, Sub-Judge III Class, Chamba, District Chamba, H. P.

C. S. No. 12/90

Rattan Chand s/o Bhagu Ram, r/o Village Kiyani, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil and District Chamba

*..Plaintiff.*

*Versus*

Jawahar s/o Lakhu, r/o Village Kiyani, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil and District Chamba etc. *..Defendants.*

To

Maheshwari Devi wd/o Amar Singh, r/o Village Kiyani, Pargana Rajnagar, Tehsil and District Chamba.

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the

above named defendant is evading the service of the summons and cannot be served in the normal course of the service. Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against him to appear in this court on 16-8-1990 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case failing which *ex-parte* proceedings will be taken against him.

Given under my hand and the seal of this court on this 9th July, 1990.

Seal.

K. K. SHARMA,  
Sub-Judge III Class,  
Chamba District Chamba.

In the Court of Shri George, Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Dalhousie, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh

Succession Case No. 1/1990

Date of hearing 9-10-1990

1. Shrimati Mansha Devi w/o Shri Basakhi, r/o Village Bar, Muhalla Kalar, Patwarkhana Mail, Pargana Chuan, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh .. Petitioner.

*Versus*

The general public.

To

The general public.

Whereas in the above noted case the petitioner has filed an application in this court under section 372 of the Indian Succession Act in respect of assets of the Late Shri Basakhi s/o not known, r/o Village Bar, Muhalla Kalar, Patwarkhana Mail, Pargana Chuan, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh, who died on 21-8-1983.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued to the general public of the illaqua and the kith and kins of the deceased to file objection if any, to the grant of such succession certificate in this court on 9-10-1990 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader, failing which the petition will be heard and disposed of *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and seal of this court on 4th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

GEORGE,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Dalhousie, District Chamba.

In the Court of Shri George, Sub-Judge 1st Class, Dalhousie,  
District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh

Civil Suit No. 8/88

State Bank of India at Chowari through  
Shri Baldev Raj Verma, Manager.

*Versus*

Satish Bhatia and others.

*Versus*:

✓ Satish Bhatia sole proprietor M/s Bhatia Cloth Store, Village and Post Office, Chowari, Tehsil Bhattiyat, District Chamba, Himachal Pradesh.

Whereas in the above noted suit it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that defendant above named is evading the service of the summons and he cannot be served in the normal course of service.

Hence this proclamation is hereby issued against him to appear in this court on 29-10-1990 at 10 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the suit failing which an *ex-parte* proceedings will be taken against him.

Given under my hand and seal of this court today on 25th day of May, 1990.

Seal.

GEORGE,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Dalhousie, District Chamba.

In the Court of Shri S. L. Sharma, Sub-Judge, 1st  
Class, Kangra, District Kangra (H. P.)

Civil Sui 379/88

Pending for 16-8-90

Shrimati Daya Wanti etc. widow, 2. Shri Om Parkash son, 3. Shrimati Shivdarshna Kumari *alias* Sudarshna Kumari daughter through Shri Om Parkash plaintiff No. 2 her real brother as her General Attorney of Shri Jagan Nath son of Bhagat Ram, caste Khatri residents of Purana Mataur, Mauza Mataur, Tehsil and District Kangra, H. P. .. Plaintiff.

*Versus*

Shrimati Pawan Kumari etc. .. Defendants.

*Versus*:

1. Shrimati Pawan Kumari 'widow of Sohan Lal son of Shri Jeet Ram, Caste Brahman of Bhawan Kangra, Tehsil and District Kangra at present constable Police Department, Chardigarh c/o Senior Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh, 2. Kumari Santosh, 3. Kumari Meena daughters of Sohan Lal son of Jeet Ram, caste Brahman, residents of Bhawan Kangra, Tehsil and District Kangra, 4. Kumari Seeman daughter of Sohan Lal son of Jeet Ram, Caste Brahman of Bhawan Kangra, Tehsil and District Kangra, through Smt. Pawan Kumari defendant No. 1, her guardian .. Defendants.

Suit for possession

Whereas in the above noted case, it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the above named defendants cannot be served in the ordinary course of service as they are evading the service of summons issued against them.

Hence this proclamation under order 5, rule 20, C. P. C. is hereby issued against them to appear in this court on 16-8-1990 at 10.00 A. M. personally or through an authorised agent or pleader to defend the case, failing which will be proceeded *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 12th June, 1990.

Seal.

S. L. SHARMA,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Kangra, District Kangra, H. P.

NOTICE UNDER ORDER 5, RULE 20, C. P. C.

In the Court of A. C. Thilwal, Sub-Judge 1st Class, Court  
No. I, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh

Civil Suit No. 244/1 of 1986

The State Bank of India (ADB), a body corporate constituted under the State Bank of India Act, 1955 through its Branch Manager, Shri C. K. Dennis, State Bank of India (Agriculture Development Branch) Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur Himachal Pradesh

.. Plaintiff.

*Versus*

1. Shri Chotu Ram s/o Shri Daval Singh, resident of Ward No. 5, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh.

2. Shri Brij Mohan s/o Shri Jado Prashad, resident of Ward No. 7, Devi Nager, Paonta Sahib, District Sirmaur, Himachal Pradesh

.. Defendants.

Suit for recovery of Rs. 3280.55 on account of principal and interest upto 4-12-1986 at the rate of 4% per annum on the basis of oral and documentary evidence along with further interest from the date of realisation of the decretal amount.

Whereas in the above titled civil suit it has been proved to the satisfaction of this court that the defendants are evading service as the summons issued against them received unserved and cannot be served in the ordinary way of process. Hence proclamation under order 5, rule 20, C.P.C. is hereby made against the above defendants to appear before this court on or before 17-8-1990 at 10.00 A.M. personally or through pleader or authorised agent, failing which the suit shall be heard *ex-parte*.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this 13th day of July, 1990.

Seal.

A. C. THALWAL,  
Sub-Judge 1st Class,  
Court No. 1, Paonta Sahib.

In the Court of Shri M. L. Sharma, Additional District Magistrate—exercising the powers of Collector, Una, District Una

Appeal No. 7 of 1989

Pending for 7-8-1990

Smt. Nirmala Devi d/o Jagat Ram w/o Rattan Chand, r/o Village Kuswan Ranuta, Tappa Kotla, Tehsil Bangana, District Una through general power of attorney Shri Rattan Chand s/o Tota Ram, r/o Village Kuswan Ranuta, Tehsil Bangana, District Una .. Appellant.

Versus

1. Hukam Devi w/o Jagat Ram, 2. Gurpal Singh, 3. Balbir Singh sons of, 4. Neelam Devi, 5. Ranju Bala daughters of Rashilo Devi w/o Dalip Singh, 6. Sita Devi w/o Kishan Singh, 7. Kishan Singh s/o Bhuri Singh all resident of Village Kuswan Ranuta, Tappa Kotla, Tehsil Bangana, District Una .. Respondents.

Appeal against the order dated 3-12-1988 passed by the Ld. Land Reforms Officer, Bangana by which the application of the appellant is dismissed.

Whereas in the above noted appeal it has been proved to the satisfaction of the court that the above named respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 5 cannot be served in normal course of process. Hence the proclamation under order 5, rule 20, C.P.C. is hereby issued to respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 5 to appear in this court on 7-8-1990 at 10.00 A.M. at Una personally or through pleader or an authorised agent failing which *ex-parte* proceedings will be initiated against respondents No. 2, 3, 4 and 5.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this day on 20th June, 1990.

Seal.

M. L. SHARMA,  
Additional District Magistrate,  
exercising the powers of Collector,  
Una, District Una.

कार्यालय श्री अरुण कुमार शर्मा, उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी, मुन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री यूसूफ अनसारी सुपुत्र श्री शेर मोहम्मद, निवासी भोजपुर, डाकखाना भोजपुर, तहसील मुन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

प्रार्थना पत्र:—बड़की की जन्म तिथि नगरपालिका मुन्दर नगर के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने हेतु ।

श्री यूसूफ अनसारी प्रार्थी उपरोक्त ने दिनांक 2-7-90 को इस कार्यालय में प्रार्थना-पत्र पेश किया है कि उसकी लड़की इनडीका अनसारी दिनांक 30-5-1985 को उसकी निवास स्थान पर पवा हुई है, लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि समय पर नगरपालिका मुन्दर नगर में दर्ज नहीं करवाई है, दर्ज करने के आदेश दिये जायें ।

अतः सर्वसाधारण को इस इशतहार द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि किसी व्यक्ति को इस बारा कोई आपत्ति आदि हो तो दिनांक 10-8-90 समय 10 बजे सुबह हाजर कार्यालय हो कर पेश कर सकता है, वरना इनडीका अनसारी सुपुत्री श्री यूसूफ अनसारी, निवासी भोजपुर, तहसील मुन्दर नगर को जन्म तिथि 30-5-1985 को नगरपालिका मुन्दर नगर के अभिलेख में दर्ज करने के आदेश जारी कर दिये जायेंगे ।

आज दिनांक 7-7-90 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर कार्यालय से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

अरुण कुमार शर्मा,  
उप-मण्डल दण्डाधिकारी,  
उप-मण्डल मुन्दर नगर ।

व अदालत श्री आर० के० भाटिया, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्री सिकू राम सुपुत्र श्री गुरदी पाल सिंह, निवासी सिगा, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरखवास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में श्री सिकू राम सुपुत्र श्री गुरदी पाल सिंह, निवासी सिगा, तहसील व जिला ऊना ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उनके पुत्र राजेश कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 5-5-1984 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः ग्राम जनता को वजरिया इशतहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण बारा किसी को कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 15-8-1990 को असालतन या बकालतन इस कार्यालय में सुबह दस बजे हाजर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी ।

आज दिनांक 16-7-1990 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से जारी हुआ ।

मोहर ।

आर० के० भाटिया,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०) ।

व अदालत श्री आर० के० भाटिया, उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट, ऊना, जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश

श्रीमती गुष्पा देवी पत्नी श्री तेलू राम, निवासी सन्तोषगढ़, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश .. प्रार्थी ।

बनाम

ग्राम जनता

दरखवास्त जेर धारा 13(3) पंजीकरण जन्म व मृत्यु ।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा उनवान बाला में श्रीमती गुष्पा देवी पत्नी श्री तेलू राम, निवासी सन्तोषगढ़, तहसील व जिला ऊना, हिमाचल प्रदेश ने इस कार्यालय में गुजारिश की है कि उनके पुत्र राहुल कुमार का जन्म दिनांक 12-10-1985 को हुआ है लेकिन उसकी जन्म तिथि पंचायत रिकार्ड में पंजीकृत नहीं हुई है ।

अतः ग्राम जनता को बजरिया इशतहार राजपत्र सूचित किया जाता है कि उपरोक्त पंजीकरण द्वारा किसी का कोई उजर व एतराज हो तो वह दिनांक 10-8-1990 को असातन या वकालतन इस कार्यालय में मुबह 10 बजे हाजिर आवें तथा अपने उजर पेश करें अन्यथा दीगर कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 16-7-1990 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर असातन में जारी हुआ।

मोहर। आर० के० भाटिया,  
उप-मण्डल मैजिस्ट्रेट,  
ऊना, जिला ऊना (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री सुभाष चन्द मकलानी, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)

व मुकद्दमा :

1. डागी, 2. भेखलू पिसरान धनू, निवासी बजोट, परगना कुटगड़,  
तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर फ्रीक अम्बल।

बनाम

1. वीरू, 2. हल्कु पिसरान, 3. श्रीमती बुद्धि, 4. श्रीमती मुक्ती  
दुखारान, 5. श्रीमती कातकी माता, 6. शेतू व 7. मस्तू पिसरान तुलू  
पुत्र मदन, निवासी गण लवकंडी (बजोट), परगना कुटगड़, तहसील  
जोगिन्दर नगर फ्रीक दोयम।

दरखास्त सेहत गिरदावरी

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा में फ्रीक दोयम श्रीमती बुद्धि व हल्कु को कई बार  
अदालत हजा स समन जारी किए गए परन्तु समन की  
फ्रीक दोयम पर तामील सही पता न होने के कारण न हो सकी। अतः  
अदालत हजा को यकीन हो गया है कि आसान तरीके से तामील होना  
असम्भव है।

अतः फ्रीक दोयम श्रीमती बुद्धि व हल्कु को बजरिया इशतहार जेर  
आर्डर 5, नियम 20, सी०पी०सी० द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वे  
दिनांक 20-8-90 को प्रातः दस बजे असातन या वकालतन अदालत  
हजा में हाजर हो कर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें। अन्यथा एक तरफा  
कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 16-7-90 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से  
जारी किया गया।

मोहर। सुभाष चन्द सकलानी,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री एस० सी० सकलानी, सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री काली दास पुत्र श्री जोधा, निवासी भगेहड़, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर,  
जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) प्राथी।

बनाम

1. प्रताप सिंह, 2. मिलाप चन्द पुत्र, 3. श्रीमती सुकी पुत्री,  
4. श्रीमती सोमा बेवा गुलाब सिंह, 6. बीना पुत्री व 7. निर्मला  
देवा बेवा अजब लाल उर्फ रजिन्दर नाथ, 8. सावित्री, 9. सरनी  
पूत्रियां व 10. रतन लाल पुत्र व 11. मु० कादसी बेवा दलीप चन्द,  
12. श्रीम प्रकाश, 13. सुभाष चन्द, 14. गिरधारी लाल पिसरान,  
15. कृष्णा, 16. रुकमणी, 17. सत्या, 18. कुसुम पुत्रियां व  
19. मु० कोलन बेवा दुनी चन्द, 20. हरी सिंह पुत्र कांशी राम,  
21. परमा, 22. प्रभू पिसरान कन्हैया, निवासी भगेहड़, तहसील  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी फ्रीक दोयम।

दरखास्त तकसीम भूमि रकबा तादादी 1-4-6 बीघा, खसरा  
नं० 1024, मुहाल भगेहड़, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा में फ्रीक दोयम क्रम संख्या 1 व 3 से 20 तक व  
क्रम संख्या 22 तथा क्रम संख्या 21 मुक्त परमा के कायमोकाय को  
समन जारी किए गए परन्तु फ्रीक दोयम का सही पता मालूम न होने के  
कारण तामील होना असम्भव है।

अतः उपरोक्त फ्रीक दोयम सर्वश्री/श्रीमती प्रताप सिंह, सुकी, सोमा,  
बीना, निर्मला देवी, सावित्री, सरनी, रतन लाल, कादसी, श्रीम प्रकाश,  
सुभाष चन्द, गिरधारी लाल, कृष्णा, रुकमणी, सत्या, कुसुम, कोलन,  
हरी सिंह, प्रभू व मुक्त परमा के वारमान (कायमोकाय) श्याम लाल,  
जान चन्द, राजू, सेना देवी, मथरा देवी, शुक्री, जानकी तथा शीला  
देवी को बजरिया इशतहार जेर आर्डर 5, नियम 20, सी०पी०सी० द्वारा  
सूचित किया जाता है कि वे दिनांक 21-8-90 को प्रातः दस बजे  
असातन या वकालतन अदालत हजा में हाजर हो कर मुकद्दमा को  
पैरवी करें अन्यथा एक तरफा कार्यवाही अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 21-8-1990 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत  
से जारी हुआ।

मोहर। एस० सी० सकलानी,  
सहायक समाहर्ता प्रथम श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री एस० सी० सकलानी, सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)

व मुकद्दमा :

श्री भादव पुत्र मूलू, जाति राजपूत, निवासी मनोह, इलाका जगतपुर,  
तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) प्राथी।

बनाम

1. श्री जगत राम पुत्र ठेण्डू राम, जाति धिर्य, निवासी मनोह,  
इलाका जगतपुर, 2. श्री नरद चन्द पुत्र चौधरी, 3. सेरू पुत्र जिन्दू,  
जाति राजपूत, निवासी मनोह, इलाका जगतपुर, तहसील जोगिन्दर नगर,  
जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०) फ्रीक दोयम।

दरखास्त सेहत गिरदावरी रकबा तादादी 3-11-14 बीघा  
वाक्या मुहाल मनोह/344।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा में फ्रीक दोयम सरद चन्द को कई बार समन जारी  
किए गए मगर समन हजा की तामील न हो सकी क्योंकि फ्रीक दोयम  
सरद चन्द लापता होना पाया जाता है। अदालत हजा को यकीन हो  
चुका है कि फ्रीक दोयम पर आसान तरीका से तामील होना असम्भव  
है।

अतः उपरोक्त फ्रीक दोयम को बजरिया इशतहार राजपत्र जेर आर्डर  
5, नियम 20, सी०पी०सी० द्वारा सूचित किया जाता है कि वह मिति  
22-8-90 को प्रातः दस बजे असातन या वकालतन अदालत हजा  
में हाजर हो कर मुकद्दमा को पैरवी करें अन्यथा कार्यवाही एक तरफा  
अमल में लाई जावेगी।

आज दिनांक 6-7-1990 को हमारे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत से  
जारी किया गया।

मोहर। एस० सी० सकलानी,  
सहायक समाहर्ता द्वितीय श्रेणी,  
जोगिन्दर नगर, जिला मण्डी (हि० प्र०)।

व अदालत श्री बी० एस० ठाकुर, नाथन-तहसीलदार/  
तहसीलदार-कम-सब-रजिस्ट्रार, कांगड़ा

मु० नं० SNT/90

श्री मसदी राम पुत्र रांसा राम, वासी रज्याणा तहसील कांगड़ा  
प्राथी।

बनाम सर्व जनता प्राथी।

दरखास्त :—बाबत रजिस्टर करवाने वसीयत नामा जेर धारा  
40/41 भारतीय रजिस्ट्रेशन ऐक्ट, 1908 हतु।

मुकद्दमा मुन्दर्जा उनवान वाला मे हर खास व ग्राम को सूचित किया जाता है कि मसदी राम पुत्र रांभा राम, बासी रज्याणा, तहसील कांगड़ा ने मिति 28-6-90 को इस कार्यालय में दरखवास्त दी है कि श्री शेर सिंह पुत्र रांभा, बासी रज्याणा, तहसील कांगड़ा ने एक वसीयतनामा बहक प्रार्थी के नाम की है पंजीकृत की जावे जिसकी तारीख पेशी 30-8-90 को इस अदालत में रखी गई है। यदि इस सम्बन्ध में किसी को किसी किस्म का उज्जर या एतराज हो तो वह उपरोक्त तारीख को असालतन या वकालतन हाजिर अदालत हो कर पेश कर सकता है। बाद गुजरने मियाद कोई उज्जर काबिले समायत न होगा और वसीयत पर कार्यवाही मनासब हो कर वसीयत पंजीकृत कर दी जायेगी।

प्राज वतारीख 12-7-90 को मोहर अदालत व मेरे हस्ताक्षर से जारी किया गया।

मोहर।  
वी 0 एस 0 ठाकुर,  
नायब-तहसीलदार/तहसीलदार-  
कम-सब-रजिस्ट्रार, कांगड़ा।

व अदालत श्री अर्जुन सिंह ठाकुर, तहसीलदार एवं सहायक  
ममाहर्ता, प्रथम वर्ग, ऊना

मिसल नं 0 28/टो 0/89 तकसीम

सुरजीत सिंह पुत्र शमशेर सिंह, जात राजपूत, गांव चताड़ा,

तहसील ऊना।

बनाम

शिव राम पुत्र खजाना राम आदि वाक्या मौजा बारसडा, तहसील ऊना दावा तकसीम तादादी मूमि 0-14-65 खेवट नं 0 6 खतोनी नं 07 व 8 खसरा नं 0 33 8 पुराना 154 नया 40 मिन पुराना 174 व 175 नया मुताबिक जमाबन्दी साल 19 86-87 वाक्या मौजा चताड़ा, तहसील ऊना नोटिस बनाम प्रतिवादीगण 1. किशोरी लाल पुत्र राम रखा, जात ब्राह्मण, 2. भगत राम, 3. रमेश चन्द पुत्रगण बाबू राम, जात बाहली, गांव बारसडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना।

उपरोक्त मुकद्दमा तकसीम इस अदालत में तरे ममायत है। उपरोक्त प्रतिवादीगण 1. किशोरी लाल पुत्र राम रखा, 2. भगत राम, 3. रमेश चन्द पुत्र बाबू राम, जात बाहली, गांव बारसडा, तहसील व जिला ऊना को रजिस्ट्री समन जारी हुए थे मगर वह बिना तामील न्यायालय में वापस प्राप्त हुये हैं, सायल ब्यानी है कि प्रतिवादीगण की तामील साधारण ढंग से नहीं हो सकती है इसलिये प्रतिवादीगण को जेर आर्डर 5, रूल 20, सी 0 पी 0 सी 0 के अधीन नोटिस जारी किया जा कर सूचित किया जाता है कि वह दिनांक 13-8-90 को प्रातः 10 बजे अदालत हजा में असालतन या वकालतन हाजर हो कर मुकद्दमा की पैरवी करें अन्यथा उन क बिस्द कार्यवाही यकनरफा अमल में लाई जा कर मुकद्दमा का फैसला कर दिया जायेगा।

आज दिनांक 19-7-90 को मेरे हस्ताक्षर व मोहर अदालत द्वारा जारी हुआ।

मोहर।  
अर्जुन सिंह ठाकुर,  
सहायक ममाहर्ता प्रथम वर्ग,  
ऊना।

#### 6—भारतीय राजपत्र इत्यादि में से पुनः प्रकाशन शून्य

भाग 7—भारतीय निर्वाचन आयोग (Election Commission of India) की वैधानिक अधिसूचनाएं तथा अन्य  
निर्वाचन सम्बन्धी अधिसूचनाएं  
शून्य

अनुपूरक  
शून्य

#### भाग-3

PERSONNEL DEPARTMENT  
(Training and Foreign Assignments)

#### NOTIFICATION

Shimla-2, the 3rd April, 1989

No. Per. (Trg. and FA) B(12)-10/88.—In continuation of this Department Notification No. HIPA (Exam)-12/75-6, dated the 29th January, 1983 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 3 (X) of the Himachal Pradesh Departmental Examinations Rules, 1976, as amended from time to time, and in consultation with the Himachal

Pradesh Board of Departmental Examinations, the Governor, Himachal Pradesh is pleased to order substitution of the following words for the existing words, "Economics and Statistics Department" against Sl. No. 4 thereof:—

"Economics and Statistics Department as well as the Planning Department (including Economists and/or Statisticians/Research Officers of all other Departments)".

By order,  
G. S. CHAMBIAL,  
Commissioner-cum-Secretary (Training).